

|  | 5 |  |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A Here. | 1 | Q Western New York, 4? |  |
| 2 | Q Region II Secretary, Dyanne Pugliese? | 2 | A Here. |  |
| 3 | A Here. | 3 | Q Illinois, 4? |  |
| 4 | Q Region II Treasurer, Wayne Wilson? | 4 | A Present. |  |
| 5 | A (No response.) | 5 | Q Indiana, 3. |  |
| 6 | Q Region III, Rob La Vielle? | 6 | A Here. |  |
| 7 | A Here. | 7 | Q Iowa, 2. |  |
| 8 | Q Deputy Director for Region III, | 8 | A Here. |  |
| 9 | Darius? | 9 | Q Kansas, 3. |  |
| 10 | A Here. | 10 | A Here. |  |
| 11 | Q Region III Treasurer, Jeanne Smith? | 11 | Q Kentucky, 3? |  |
| 12 | A Here. | 12 | A Here. |  |
| 13 | Q Region III Secretary, Karon Beyer? | 13 | Q Michigan, 4? |  |
| 14 | A Here. | 14 | A Here. |  |
| 15 | Q Region IV Director, Dan Trainor? | 15 | Q Minnesota, 4? |  |
| 16 | A Here. | 16 | A Here. |  |
| 17 | Q Region IV Deputy Director, Mike | 17 | Q Missouri, 2? |  |
| 18 | Maloney? | 18 | A (No response.) |  |
| 19 | A Here. | 19 | Q Nebraska, 2? |  |
| 20 | Q Region IV Treasurer, Lori | 20 | A (No response.) |  |
| 21 | Stoneburner. She will be voting for her state. | 21 | Q North Dakota, zero. |  |
| 22 | Region IV Secretary, Barbara Trainor. | 22 | A (No response.) |  |
| 23 | She will also be voting for her state. | 23 | Q Ohio North, 3. |  |
| 24 | Going states by region. | 24 | A Here. |  |
| 25 | Connecticut, 4? | 25 | Q South Dakota, 2? |  |
|  | 6 |  |  | 8 |
| 1 | A Here. | 1 | A Here. |  |
| 2 | Q Delaware, zero? | 2 | Q Southern Ohio, 2? |  |
| 3 | A Here. | 3 | A Here. |  |
| 4 | Q Eastern New York, 4? | 4 | Q Wisconsin, 2? |  |
| 5 | A Here. | 5 | A Here. |  |
| 6 | Q Eastern Pennsylvania, 4? | 6 | Q Alabama, 2? |  |
| 7 | A Present. | 7 | A Here. |  |
| 8 | Q Maryland, 2? | 8 | Q Arkansas, 4? |  |
| 9 | A Here. | 9 | A Here. |  |
| 10 | Q Massachusetts, 5? | 10 | Q Florida, 5? |  |
| 11 | A Present. | 11 | A Here. |  |
| 12 | Q Metro DC-Virginia, 6? | 12 | Q Georgia, 4? |  |
| 13 | A Here. | 13 | A Here. |  |
| 14 | Q New Hampshire, 2? | 14 | Q Louisiana, 4? |  |
| 15 | A Here. | 15 | A Here. |  |
| 16 | Q New Jersey, 4? | 16 | Q Mississippi, 1? |  |
| 17 | A Here. | 17 | A (No response.) |  |
| 18 | Q Pennsylvania West, 3? | 18 | Q North Carolina, 5? |  |
| 19 | A Present. | 19 | A Here. |  |
| 20 | Q Rhode Island, 2? | 20 | Q North Texas, 6? |  |
| 21 | A Here. | 21 | A Present. |  |
| 22 | Q Vermont, 2. | 22 | Q Oklahoma, 3? |  |
| 23 | A (No response.) | 23 | A Here. |  |
| 24 | Q West Virginia, 2? | 24 | Q South Carolina, 4? |  |
| 25 | A Here. | 25 | A Here. |  |


|  | 9 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q Tennessee, 4? | MR. TRAPIKAS: He's here. |
| 2 | A Here. | Q Missouri is here? Okay. |
| 3 | Q South Texas, 4? | A Here. |
| 4 | A Here. | Q Nebraska, 2? |
| 5 | Q Alaska, 4? | $5 \quad$ A (No response.) |
| 6 | A Here. | Q Nevada, 1? |
| 7 | Q Arizona, 4? | A (No response.) |
| 8 | A Here. | Q Wyoming, 1? |
| 9 | Q California North, 5? | A (No response.) |
| 10 | A Here. | 10 Q ANSL. |
| 11 | Q California South, 5? | 11 A (No response.) |
| 12 | A Here. | 12 PRESIDENT GROFF: Now, I did notice |
| 13 | Q Colorado, 3? | 13 if you want to be chair of Credentials Committee, |
| 14 | A Here. | 14 you need to go to the North Carolina Soccer |
| 15 | Q Hawaii, 3? | 15 Association School of Credentials. They pass it |
| 16 | A Here. | 16 from one generation to the next. |
| 17 | Q Idaho, 3? | 17 As they try to calculate the number |
| 18 | A Present. | 18 of votes that we need to pass, a two-thirds and a |
| 19 | Q Montana, 2? | 19 majority, we're going to give out some awards. |
| 20 | A Here. | 20 So each year, we give awards to the people who |
| 21 | Q New Mexico, 4? | 21 were gracious enough to spend their time, energy, |
| 22 | A Here. | 22 and some money to host us. |
| 23 | Q Nevada, 1? | 23 So the first, North Texas State |
| 24 | A (No response.) | 24 Soccer for hosting the Coed Cup. |
| 25 | Q Oregon, 4? | 25 (Applause.) |
|  | 10 | 12 |
| 1 | A Here. | PRESIDENT GROFF: Kentucky State |
| 2 | Q Utah, 2? | 2 Soccer Association for hosting the National Cup |
| 3 | A Here. | 3 finals. |
| 4 | Q Washington, 5? | 4 (Applause.) |
| 5 | A Here. | 5 PRESIDENT GROFF: Now, the one state |
| 6 | Q Wyoming, 1? | 6 really spent a lot of money and a lot of time, |
| 7 | A (No response.) | 7 and for hosting the AGM, the Veterans Cup, and |
| 8 | Q USL, 3? | 8 the mid-year meeting, Florida State Soccer |
| 9 | A Here. | 9 Association. |
| 10 | Q WPSL, 3? | 10 (Applause.) |
| 11 | A Here. | 11 PRESIDENT GROFF: And he tells me |
| 12 | Q NPSL, 2? | 12 there's a bill in the mail. I'm still waiting. |
| 13 | A Here. | 13 Last year we gave an award, a |
| 14 | Q ANSL, 1? | 14 President's Award, to Alaska for doing an |
| 15 | A (No response.) | 15 outstanding job in developing leagues, their |
| 16 | Q MSSL, 1? | 16 membership, and just doing an overall great job. |
| 17 | A Here. | 17 Everyone liked that award. |
| 18 | Q SPSL, 1? | 18 So this year, I thought it would be |
| 19 | A (No response.) | 19 good to give one to each region. So the |
| 20 | Q I would like to recall the ones that | 20 President's Award is for a state association that |
| 21 | did not respond. | 21 grows their membership, includes both men's, |
| 22 | Vermont, 2? | 22 women's, coed leagues, very active in the region |
| 23 | A (No response.) | 23 or serving on a national committee, and is really |
| 24 | Q Missouri, 2? | 24 committed to this organization. This is the |
| 25 | A (No response.) | 25 award as you see it. And the winners are... |

```
Association.
```

(Applause.)
MR. GROFF: He also is in charge of the match that we play here at the annual meeting.

Thank you, sir.
REGION I CONNECTICUT: Thank you.
PRESIDENT GROFF: From Region II, Minnesota Soccer Association.
(Applause.)
PRESIDENT GROFF: They had a great increase of membership. They support all types of leagues. And this year, they were the first one to use the tournament registration plan and registered 700 unaffiliated players.
(Applause.)
PRESIDENT GROFF: For Region III, Louisiana Soccer Association.
(Applause.)
PRESIDENT GROFF: They increased their membership. They're very active. And really what struck me, I looked at some of their old records. After Katrina, they had 125 players, and today they got back to the numbers 14
and higher than they were before then. Fantastic job.
(Applause.)
PRESIDENT GROFF: And Region IV -you will have to take it out of the box. A state that's very involved, committed to volunteer time, grow the membership. We appreciate what they do. New Mexico Soccer Association.
(Applause.)
PRESIDENT GROFF: Darius, do you need more time? Okay.

Are there any committee members, any chairs that would like to make a report? Barb, Kyle, I understand that we have possibly a meeting for tomorrow for a Sportsvite. Do you want to bring us up to date?

MS. TRAINOR: We did visit every region and talk about it, but we do have a demo tomorrow at 2:00, and I don't know if I'm pronouncing this right, but it's in the Brickell Conference Room.

PRESIDENT GROFF: "Brickell."
MS. TRAINOR: "Brickell." Yes, thank
you. Brickell Conference Room at 2:00. And we have a couple of hours after that to answer
questions, if you want to come and ask specific questions. Sportsvite would like to learn as much as they can about the different ways that each of us do business and just talk about partnerships and what they can do to help.

PRESIDENT GROFF: Thanks.
Barb and her committee have done a fantastic job, so you should go there and see how the program works. It's an excellent program. Mr. Bosgraaf.
MR. BOSGRAAF: This is my report from the coaching committee. I think I stood up here in October saying we were going to be pretty close to finishing off our Level 2 program. We're still really close. The Federation has had several updates on their program over the last half year, and we have been diligently working on that ourselves.

Having said that, I think we're probably within the next month or so of being able to finish it off. However, what we are looking forward to is to get going on our Level 1 courses, which is at the old course that we used to have, the 26 -hour course, and we need to have -- we want all -- one of the things that we want to do this year to get a lot of people through this so they're eligible to go and take the Level 2 is to go -- what we're going to do is to offer the first 20 applicants that are going to hold a course $\$ 1,000$ towards their expenses of putting it on, and hopefully we will get some immediate responses.

You go through the office, set it up, and the registrations will all be handled through the office also, and you can get more details.

Anyway, to get a little bit more of a background of what we're doing, I would like to ask Alex Mihailovic to come up here and kind of explain where we're at within the two programs. Alex.

MR. MIHAILOVIC: Thank you.
First of all, I'd like to thank several people for their assistance on this whole project: Mr. Frank Borroni, Dr. Meeroff, Bill Bosgraaf, Judith McLean, who has been very instrumental helping with their Level 1 course from ISSA; I really appreciate your help. Duncan and Richard all helped behind the scenes. You guys have been great to work with.

Bill said back in October that we
were probably close, and we're getting closer and closer to this date of getting the 36 hours completed. But it's an enormous process. And one of the reasons that it's taken a little bit of time is that the entire U.S. Soccer coaching education is going through a complete revamp of the whole system. So there's going to be some very unique things taking place in the near future for the entire A through E license level. There's going to be a new Pro Level A license coming up that the Federation is going to be reviewing in several months as well.

It's been great to work with the Federation. Dave Chesler is the director of education for our country, and the sad thing is we only have one of him. We really need about ten of him. The guy is fantastic, and he's just a very knowledgeable person in coaching education.

There's a little bit of a feeling -it's a little bit different, and this feeling is starting to feel -- it's started about a year ago. It's starting to really feel like soccer, right? Like it's happening all over the world. And I think the results are going to start to
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take place from the national level all the way to our level. So I appreciate everybody's work. I also want to thank Sal Rapaglia for his assistance and advice on the adult level across the country that he's given me.

So I thank everybody and look forward to working with you all. Thank you.

MR. BOSGRAAF: I just want to make one more comment on this, is both Alex and Frank Borroni put in a tremendous amount of time over -- especially in this last year, to try to push this stuff forward. So this is something that, you know, the Federation has full-time employees working on. We have got two volunteers working their butts off trying to get this stuff done. I really appreciate it, and I'm sure you guys do also. Anyway, thank you. Appreciate it.
(Applause.)
PRESIDENT GROFF: Bruno, we will let
Mr. Kepner have his report, and then we need to do the credentials. You need to have a discussion with the protocol between the credentials chairs.

MR. KEPNER: I won't take a lot of time. Thank you very much.

Each membership committee person in the region spoke. I just want to offer a couple of comments. One, I personally detect a new energy level within our organization. I credit that to all of us collectively. We started with a vision in mind way back in Phoenix in 2009, and we have been mounting and mounting and mounting in terms of activity. I give an enormous amount of credit, as I spoke to, to both the Region III and Region II, but again, now here collectively to the creativity and initiative that the NBOD has offered in terms of coming up with incentives and ideas on how to bring about growth of adult soccer. It's a job that we have to do together. There is no question at all about it.

And I am going to ask now to have everybody stand up, please.

PRESIDENT GROFF: Are you going to do this tomorrow, too?

MR. KEPNER: No, no, no, no, no.
Now, the activity that I have in mind -- had I been standing back there, you wouldn't have seen me, so that's why I decided to go ahead and accept the tacit invitation to take the podium up here. But here's what I want all of us to do. First of all, do this (indicating). It's not crossing like this. It's crossing like this (indicating). There you go. There you go. And what that symbolizes -- and I'm trying to give us a graphical, physical representation of who we are and what we need to do and what we want to do together. And, listen, this means we're working together. Okay?

Now, put your hands out here (indicating).

There you go. There you go. Some of you are a little reluctant. This is where we are now.

Now, put your hands up and stand on tippy toes. Can't do it? Okay. That's where we want to go. Let's work together.
(Applause.)
MR. EJLALI: Okay. For the total vote count, 195; simple majority, 98; two-thirds is 130 ; and if 90 percent is needed, that's 176 .

Thank you.
PRESIDENT GROFF: Thank you to the Credentials Committee, one of our great committees. And they do an outstanding job as the Hall of Fame Committee, our event we have
every year at the mid-year evening is very exceptional.

And Bruno is going to bring you up to date on the committee's activities.

MR. TRAPIKAS: 45 days from today is the deadline day for you to find who in your state association is deserving to be considered for induction into the Hall of Fame this year.

Now, we're a little later this year than we normally meet, so we're a little closer to the deadline, and I know that when we leave here, people remember it, and then every year somebody says to me on April 17th or 18th, Gee, I forgot; can you accept it? And the committee has made a decision that, you know, we need to hold that deadline firm in fairness to everyone else.

So please, when you get home, think, think about those deserving to be in the USASA Hall of Fame from your association. Do it right away. The application forms were e-mailed to you on February 29th. They're also posted on the USASA web site. Do it. Find those deserving individuals and give the committee some work to do to make some really tough choices.

Thank you.
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PRESIDENT GROFF: The next item is the confirmation of the minutes from the 2011 mid-year meeting. Are there any objection to these minutes? We taped them. By our court stenographer -- I can't imagine there could be any objections -- does such a perfect job.

Hearing none, the minutes are confirmed.

Elections, we have three positions to fill, and I will turn this over to our vice-president, Mr. Bosgraaf.

MR. BOSGRAAF: All right. We have three positions. First position for president, second one for secretary, and the third position is board of director member, which is the at-large member.

So, let's open it up with the nominations for the president.

MR. O'BRIEN: Ben O'Brien, Minnesota.
I would like to nominate Richard Groff for president.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.
PRESIDENT GROFF: Second. Okay. Any other nominations?

MR. BURNS: Move the nominations be
closed.
MR. BOSGRAAF: So done. I declare them closed. Congratulations.
(Applause.)
MR. BOSGRAAF: All right. Let's see if we can do this one more time. We'll open up the floor for the position of secretary.

MR. MOTTA: John Motta.
I would like to place in nomination the name of Shonna Schroedl. I got to know Shonna probably when we -- when I was president of the New Hampshire Soccer Association and we put a bid in to host the U.S. Soccer festival, and that was, I think, my first really getting to know Shonna at that time. And we worked really well at that time putting the tournament together. She was very helpful behind the scenes helping out with the tournament.

As a board member, I worked with Shonna, also this past year, and I can tell you she's very articulate, takes her notes, gets them out to us on time. So Shonna has done a fabulous job as our secretary. She's also a great proponent of the Women's soccer, which, for Jerry, you know, you got somebody right there at 24
your side always pushing for Women's soccer, which is great.

So it's my honor to place in nomination Shonna Schroedl.

MR. BOSGRAAF: Any other --
MR. BURNS: Move that nominations be closed.

MR. BOSGRAAF: Second?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.
MR. BOSGRAAF: Okay. Thank you. That takes care of that one. Shonna, you got reelected also. How fortunate.
(Applause.)
MR. BOSGRAAF: Okay. That leaves us with the last one, the member-at-large. And we'll open up the floor for that, Peggy.

MS. POLLEY: Peggy Polley, Kentucky Soccer.

I would like to place John Motta in nomination. John, I have known many years. He was president coming up through the ranks in New Hampshire. And then he was a referee. He's done so well with them -- the soccer organization this past -- last year, and I would like to have him nominated again. Thank you.
(Applause.)
PRESIDENT GROFF: Thank you very much. I just want you to know, I consider it a great honor to be president of your organization.

Are there any other committee reports that people need to make at this time?

MS. SMITH: I would like to let you-all know that the Coed Cup this year had 41 teams entered, and we actually lost a couple right there at the end. But they did a great job. North Texas, as usual, did a great job hosting us. And our Over-30s division actually had eight teams in it, so I don't know where people were getting their idea that we're not 26
doing well in Over-30s, but we are.
So this year I would like to also ask if you have Over-40 teams that would like to come in, because I do get a couple of teams every year that call me and ask me, but we don't have at least four, so it would be nice to have an Over-40s division also.

So onward to Dallas again this year for Coed Cup. Thanks.

PRESIDENT GROFF: Thank you very much. Any other committee reports? Hearing none, I would like to ask for a change in the agenda order.

With your approval, we have many bylaws and policies, so I would like the budget discussion to take place first, moving up in the agenda, and then go to the bylaws and policies. Is there any objection to that?

Hearing none, we will now go to the budget.

We have a staff that will present the budget this year: Kris from the Rules Committee, because we have a specific bylaw as it relates to the budget; Erin, the chair of the budget committee -- please, all of you come up here --
who will give the Budget Committee's report; and Toni is here as treasurer, if you have any questions that you want to ask, or you can certainly ask Duncan as well.

So I think the first thing we're going to do is Kris is going to take care of the bylaw as it relates to the budget.

MS. NELSON: As I have just confirmed with Richard, the bylaw that we are discussing is 215 from Idaho State Soccer Association, on Page 57 of your book. And the first thing that I'm going to need is a motion to bring the -- this bylaw proposal for Bylaw 215 regarding fees to the floor for discussion.

MR. MENGEL: So move.
MS. NELSON: All right. Gerhard has moved, Gerhard Mengel from Michigan. And do I have a second? Were you seconding that, Paul? Tim. Sorry.

MR. BUSCH: Second. We're the same guy, actually.

MS. NELSON: No, I don't think so.
Paul Scherer from Idaho, do you wish to speak to that?

MR. SCHERER: Yes, I would. First 28
off, I wanted to pull this amendment, but what I wanted people to do was actually look in the second page of this and just remember what the fees actually are. These are based on U.S. Soccer fees of $\$ 2$. The USA management fee was based on $\$ 1.50$. Full-service insurance was $\$ 9.50$, excluding particular state associations. And the competition fee was for the National Cups of $\$ 2$.

Basically is what we're looking at is there's room there for us to reduce our fees to USASA, and that was really the whole emphasis behind putting in a bylaw proposal. I think that it can be done, rather than a bylaw in our bylaws. I think it should be a policy. And I would certainly like to withdraw it if we could and offer it that we look at it more as a policy, but just remember when we start talking about the budget, because I will talk about it more then, about what I think that fee should be.

MS. NELSON: Okay. So the bylaw has been withdrawn and will be discussed further with policies.

Richard.
PRESIDENT GROFF: So now we need a
motion to have the budget as presented brought to the floor.

MR. RADU: Nick Radu, I'll make the motion.

PRESIDENT GROFF: Okay. Second?
MR. RAPAGLIA: Second.
PRESIDENT GROFF: So we now have the budget on the floor.

This is a budget that's in the book.
Kevin, can we get the budget up there on the screens?

Even when you are making a motion or a second, you need to speak loud enough so we know your name and your state association. I know it takes time, but it really is a service that we need to provide in the taking of the minutes.

Do you want to speak?
MS. LANGENWALTER: Okay. So everybody has the proposed budget. Starting on Page 153, my apologies; I know there was some discussion about whether or not we could get the Excel spreadsheet the line numbers or the line items, so excuse the difficulty in referencing various line items. We will do the best we can
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here on a page basis.
The Budget Committee reviewed the initial recommendation from the office. They made recommendations, submitted that to the Board. The Board took into account our recommendations and is setting forth the budget that we will be voting on is the second to -- the second-from-the-right column. So, any questions?

MR. SCHERER: Now, are you saying we would be voting on the proposed budget that is \$13.50?

MS. LANGENWALTER: No, the proposed budget is actually based on a $\$ 14$-- is based on a $\$ 14$ standard fee.

MR. SCHERER: But we showed it for both, right? Here. We've shown it for both here?

MS. LANGENWALTER: We have shown it for both here. The Board of Directors has put forth a budget based on the $\$ 14$, and that would be the second-from-the-right column. So you can see in the first two columns what a budget looks like based on $\$ 14$, what it looks like based on 13.5 , and then the one we are actually voting on is the second from the right.

MR. SCHERER: Okay. I would propose that we accept the budget under the $\$ 13.50$.

MS. LANGENWALTER: You are going to have to excuse my lack of parliamentarian skills. I don't know if that's appropriate, how to take that.

MR. HAMILTON: He made a motion to adopt that budget. But ultimately he's --

PRESIDENT GROFF: You would be -- the one on the floor, Paul, I think is the 14 , so you would just be amending it to 13.50 ?

MR. SCHERER: Correct.
MS. LANGENWALTER: Is there a second?
MR. RAMIREZ: Second.
MS. LANGENWALTER: Is there any discussion on the 13.50 versus 14 ?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Who seconded it?

MS. LANGENWALTER: All right, who seconded it? I thought I heard a second over here.

PRESIDENT GROFF: Dave, did you second it? Looks like we still need a second.

MR. RAMIREZ: Al Ramirez, Eastern New York. We will second it.

MS. LANGENWALTER: Is there any discussion, then, on the $\$ 14$ versus 13.50 ?

MS. BRIGGS: Toni Briggs, Treasurer.
It is my thought that after going through the 14 and 13.50, and that we did the 13.50 for discussion purposes mostly, is that we should stay with the $\$ 14$. My rationale is this: If in two years I have to come back and squeeze 50 cents more out of you, it's going to be a hundred times more difficult to try to get you to go up on fees. I think this leaves us room. We are reducing your fees. We are trying to extend some of the programs, and I really think that 14, the difference in 50 cents, I don't think is that big of a deal here. We need some room to start with our new staff. And I believe that $\$ 14$ is appropriate. And if you go down in two years, I'm going to be coming and trying to grab you for 50 cents more. And I just don't think that we want to go through that hassle. So I think that we should just keep it at 14 and take the dollar decrease, plus the 50 -cent rebate and go with that.

MR. SCHERER: Paul Scherer from Idaho.
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Yeah, I would like to comment on it. One of the things that I noticed in going through the budget, the thing that was impacted the most from the $\$ 14$ to the 13.50 was really the recreational soccer development grants. It went from $\$ 75,000$ to nothing under that. And I would propose that we could easily take care of that, we could bring that back in since the Federation was so kind as to give us $\$ 40,000$ for the new event, and we had budgeted out $\$ 35,000$. We could now put that back into the grants under that area of the budget, and that would give us back the recreational soccer development grants, which I think is very important.

So I think we can still fund this organization with 13.50. And, really, I think that most of the states would rather keep some of their money in their own pocket, rather than give it to USASA and then have USASA give it back or whatever. So I think we would be just as well off with that $\$ 13.50$, that extra 50 cents staying in our state associations.

MS. LANGENWALTER: So just for point of clarification, what he's talking about is on Page 159 about four lines from the top, the
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fourth item is called recreational soccer
development grants. Those are amounts that the
Board has directed -- has recommended go to
developing recreational soccer. There's a
difference there of \$75,000 between the two budgets. And then lower down about four from the bottom is the championship event, which for the purposes of the budget discussions, we had a placeholder of \$35,000.

There's also -- I think the other major difference is just a little bit higher up in championships events is just grants, that amount would decrease somewhat between a 14 and 13.50 budget.

Is there any other discussion?
MR. TRAPIKAS: Yeah, point of information, and I'm just trying to follow this. We had an original proposal for the budget that the NBOD recommended, and now we're debating the motion to amend the player fees to 13.50 , okay?

But now what I'm hearing is an intervening amendment, before we've even acted on that motion, to change a line item within -within a budget, and we haven't gotten to line items yet. Aren't we discussing which player fee
we're going to be discussing first?
PRESIDENT GROFF: I think
Mr. Scherer's argument was he gave justification of why his amendment was at 13.50. We haven't gone to specific line items at this point.

MR. SCHERER: That's correct.
PRESIDENT GROFF: Right. And so the question at hand is his amendment to amend the initial motion of $\$ 14$ for the basic player fee to 13.50.

Any other discussion?
MS. LANGENWALTER: All right. Is there any other discussion before I call the question?

MS. ROONEY: Cara Rooney, MDCVSA.
I just want to -- so I understand, does either budget, is it still that the new program of the 50-cent refund for reporting your players is still in effect? So in essence, if we passed the $\$ 14$, many of the states will be paying 13.50 ; is that right?

MS. LANGENWALTER: Correct.
Any further discussion?
I'm calling the question. A vote of yes will change -- will adopt a 13.50 standard
fee. Does everybody understand that? And a vote of no means that we will adopt a 14 standard fee.

MR. TRAINOR: And we can still go by line item afterwards.

MS. LANGENWALTER: And then we will address the various line items in the budget.

All right. So all in favor of
adopting a 13.50 standard fee?
MR. TRAINOR: Cards first.
MS. LANGENWALTER: A voice vote
first. All in favor of the standard fee of 13.50? All opposed?

All right. The Credentials Committee is telling me that that didn't pass.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I call for the division by the chair.

MS. LANGENWALTER: I'm sorry. You
want to see a card vote?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Because I can speak louder. And I can speak softer.

MS. LANGENWALTER: Fair enough. We have a call for a card vote. Please get your cards out.

All in favor of 13.50 , please raise
your "yes" yellow cards, if you are in favor of
that. I'm seeing less than 50 of them, and I know we needed at least twice that. So it failed. All right. The motion does not pass.
(Motion failed.)
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You didn't
have a "yes" vote, so how do you know?
MS. LANGENWALTER: We did have a "yes" vote.
(Multiple comments from the National Council.)

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You didn't have a count. It has to be official to have a count.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You called for the rest of the vote.

MS. LANGENWALTER: All right. Please put up your "no" votes if you are voting no.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think you got your answer now.
(Multiple discussions on the floor.)
MS. LANGENWALTER: You want us to count them, right? I don't -- does anybody feel like they need a count?

MULTIPLE ANSWERS: No.
MS. LANGENWALTER: Okay. Thank you.
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You can put your nos down.
(Motion failed.)
MS. LANGENWALTER: Okay. So the
13.50 does not pass. That means that the original motion to pass the $\$ 14$ standard fee-based budget is still on the floor. Is there any discussion? Or does that one move forward. All right? Discussion?

MR. SCHERER: Yes, Paul Scherer from Idaho.

As I had mentioned earlier in my argument for this, what I would like to see us do now is to take that championship event dollars, that \$35,000 and put it in to recreational soccer development grants. It shows that a total now is 75,000, under the $\$ 14$ amount, but adding -- I would like to also add that $\$ 35,000$ to that, which would bring it up to, what, 105; is that correct? 110,000 . I think that is really important that we have the money there for that development. And since U.S. Soccer is funding that other event of \$40,000, it certainly doesn't need to be there in that line item anymore.

MS. LANGENWALTER: Are you making that motion?

MR. SCHERER: Yes, I am.
MS. LANGENWALTER: Do I have a second on the motion?

MR. BUSCH: Yes, all right. Second.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.
MS. LANGENWALTER: Second on this.

## Discussion?

MR. MOTTA: I would like to speak against the motion only because we had a request today, and a very good request from Jerry, that we also fund a women's tournament, and I would like to keep the $\$ 35,000$ in there to help fund the women's side of the same type of tournament, so we've got the money from the U.S. Soccer for the men; let us keep the money in there so we can do the same for the women.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hear, hear. MR. BUSCH: Just -- go ahead, Tony.
MR. FALCONE: Tony Falcone, Deputy

## Director Region I.

The National Board of Directors
thought -- you know, we had discussed about trying to promote the recreational game, and we started off with this figure of \$75,000 that's grants, and we're going to have a process of how 40
the state associations can apply for these grants.

If we get a lot of state associations or leagues coming to us, and we see that $\$ 75,000$, we have room in our reserves to consider going beyond that. I don't think it's necessary. I mean, personally, I think we would be lucky if we even spend the $\$ 75,000$ the way people apply for the grants around here. So the money is available. I think our board is flexible enough that if there is the need, we will take that into consideration. And if any members of the board disagree with me, please state so.

MR. BUSCH: Tony, I appreciate hearing your comments. Tim Busch, from Washington. B-u-s-c-h. Thank you. I was the guy years ago that made the -- ranted on the floor about us telling everybody who you are, although I don't think I need an introduction.

I appreciate what Tony said, but I will take the opposite position and simply say this: This budget is for '12-'13, and it's a great idea to fund things, but there is not a specific proposal. It's not pro- or anti-recreation or a women's program. Is it
competition developed during that budget cycle?
And to use Tony's logic, I would expect our
National Board of Directors to fund a quality
program for women. So I speak in favor of the
motion to put the $\$ 35,000$ into the recreational
budget for grants. Thank you.

MS. LANGENWALTER: Any further
discussion?

All right. I'll call the question on amending the budget to move the line item called championship events into the recreational soccer development grants.

All in favor? Aye?
All opposed? Do we want --
MR. SCHERER: Can we go to the cards for that?

MR. RAPAGLIA: Can we have a show of cards before we count them?

MS. LANGENWALTER: All right. Can we see -- we're going to do nos first. Everybody clear? Please show your cards if you are against the amendments to move the line item to the recreational soccer development grants.

MR. TRAPIKAS: Now we ask for the yes
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cards, and I think it will be obvious.
(Motion failed.)
MS. LANGENWALTER: The amendment failed.

All right. So we are back to the proposed budget based on a $\$ 14$ standard fee, and that is as printed in the book on the second-from-the-right column.

Any further discussion?
MR. SCHERER: I just had a question.
Tony alluded to some surplus. I hadn't seen where there was surplus in the budget here at all. Could I find out what that amount is?

MS. LANGENWALTER: I'm not aware of any. I think he's just referring to the fact that, you know, we do our best to work around with what we have, and support the programs that come to the floor.

The reserve is what I'm being told.
MR. SCHERER: Tony had alluded to --
MR. FALCONE: I did not allude to any
surplus. I said we have funds in reserve as USASA.

MR. SCHERER: I wrote down "surplus."
I was trying to figure out where that was.

## 43

```
MR. HAMILTON: Passed.
MS. LANGENWALTER: I'm sorry. It
``` passed.
(Motion passed.)
(Applause.)
MS. LANGENWALTER: All right. I am going to take just one minute. I am stepping down as budget chair. I want to thank you all. It's been a huge learning experience for me. I appreciate the patience that our parliamentarian and the Board have had with me in presenting the budget, and I look forward to continuing to serve USASA in some other way. Thank you.
(Applause.)
PRESIDENT GROFF: Erin and the committee just have done a fantastic job for the last few years, and we really appreciate that.

All right. We have many bylaws, and we have many policies. So what we are going to do now is go directly to that section. I need the Rules Committee chair to come forward. There is a reason that Jim Hamilton is sitting right next to the podium. He's giving great advice on how to manage these approvals.

MS. NELSON: What Richard didn't tell
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you, is that I offered -- or I threatened to cry
if he didn't sit Jim close enough so that I could hear him.

So you have the bylaw proposals in your book, again, starting on Page 40. I believe 40 is the table of contents. They are set up in three sections, but we are going to do one small deviation from the order in which they're printed, and we're doing that primarily because it can affect a number of others, and if passed, it means we will not need to consider many of them.

The first thing that we are going to consider is the Bylaw 211 that was distributed to you when you signed in. This is, as I understand it, Richard, a set of friendly amendments that have been made to the 211 that have been proposed by the NBOD; is that correct?

PRESIDENT GROFF: Page 45.
MS. NELSON: Page 45. So the version we are taking up is the one that was distributed.

PRESIDENT GROFF: On behalf of the NBOD, Page 45 is the amendment -- the bylaw that was sent to you. Do we have to bring that one to the floor first before I can amend?

MR. HAMILTON: You should move to adopt the original one and then move to amend. Do that first.

MS. NELSON: All right. So the first item that will be brought to the floor is the one previously mentioned, you said Page 45. Page 45. We want to have a motion to adopt that bylaw.

MR. MALONEY: So move.
MS. NELSON: Who said that, please?
MR. MALONEY: I did.
MS. NELSON: Okay. Mike Maloney.
MR. TRAINOR: Dan Trainor, second.
MS. NELSON: All right. It has been motioned and seconded. And, Richard, I believe you --

PRESIDENT GROFF: On behalf of the NBOD, I am moving the amendment that has been handed out that refers to Bylaw 211. And what this amendment does is changes Section 3 and Section 4. In the case of Section 4 for a regional league, it has five different requirements, one of which is a minimum of 12 teams in the league, minimum of two teams domiciled in three separate state associations, and each region may set higher minimum standards.
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Four of them requires a set of approvals, and on
five states that it can expand with approvals to the neighboring regions.

On Section 3, it says the minimum is 32 teams in the league, minimum of six teams competing in each region, and teams to be domiciled in a minimum of 12 state associations.

That's the motion that I'd like to put on the floor, and then I would like to discuss it.

MS. NELSON: First, I need a second to this motion.

MR. FALCONE: Second the motion.
MS. NELSON: Tony Falcone.
PRESIDENT GROFF: Now, I'd like to discuss it. To give you the background, we had the original motion on Bylaw 211 that was presented at the mid-year meeting. There was a discussion to ask that it be delayed. During that delay period, we had motions from Eastern New York State Soccer. We had discussions among the Board of Directors. We had a discussion at regional levels of what will work. Okay.

What this represents is a compromise motion that Region II was very concerned that it
originally was too strict, and so they made a proposal. It is reflected here. Eastern New York was included in this discussion, and I believe they are willing to accept this proposal.

MR. FLAMHAFT: That is correct.
PRESIDENT GROFF: And we are asking that you approve this proposal, and then you will go to the other bylaws.

MS. NELSON: First up -- actually, hold on a second, Dan. I think Paul was first.

MR. SCHERER: I just had a question.
MS. NELSON: Paul Scherer from Idaho.
MR. SCHERER: Paul Scherer from Idaho.

I just had a question on this proposal. Was this proposal initially put out to us through the web page? Is that it? Or is this one that's just coming up now?

MS. NELSON: No, this is a modification of the 211 which was initially proposed. It is a set of friendly amendments, which, as I understand it, can be addressed. Yes, which the proposer of the bylaw accepted.

MR. HAMILTON: I think his original question was where's the original 211 set out.
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MR. SCHERER: Okay. So we're not talking about the orq2iginal one. This is an amendment to that; is that what we're saying?

MS. NELSON: Yes.
MR. SCHERER: So the original one was brought to the floor, and this was an amendment. All right. I'm just trying to follow.

MS. NELSON: Okay. Thanks, Paul.
Dan Trainor.
MR. TRAINOR: And to help clarify that, what you're really looking at is, Page 45 was brought to the floor. The separate page is what we're going with as far as what wants to be voted on.

I want to speak against accepting it primarily because what was, in my opinion -- and I am not in the majority at the USASA level -- is that what was originally proposed made more sense as a transition from leagues to develop and nurture and go forward.

Also, what was originally proposed on Page 45 had a new category called inter-regional league, and it strengthened up regional leagues so that no longer could a regional league steal teams -- I will use that word -- from a
neighboring state association -- or region. So
it was restricted down to one region only with clarification or with stipulations on that making it much more difficult to become a regional league, inter-regional league, and national league.

For those of you that don't know, and probably most of you do know, I'm the chairman of the NPSL, which is a national league started as a men's league seven years ago. And we started with two regions, Region IV and Region II. We had a total of 12 teams. We're now going into our eighth year, and we're going to be at 45 teams. The WPSL is 16 years, I believe, and 70 -- 70-plus teams. There's a definite transition that goes on with that.

I do also agree that -- and change that. I was an Arizona state president for 12 years -- actually, longer than that, so I understand what it's like to be in a state looking around at what seems to be people encroaching on your territory.

We have to be inclusive, but we have to provide a proper way to make that happen. I expect -- and one of the other bylaws that will 52
be brought forward is the requirement for a business plan. It is tantamount to make sure that that group knows what they're doing and is just not pissed at a state association today and wants to form a new group.

I want states to survive. They have to survive. They have to go forward. But I want progression to go from a regional league, inter-regional league, national league as it grows up and goes forward. So I would vote against the amended 211.

MS. NELSON: And we do have additional speakers up here. Bruno Trapikas.

MR. TRAPIKAS: Actually, conceptually, I concur with my colleague, Dan Trainor.

However, I believe that the proposals pertaining to the inter-regional leagues, if to be considered, should be considered separately. My gut feeling is, based on all the knowledge that I have about some of the conversation that has taken place is that they would fail.

And if, in fact, we use that rationale to vote on this matter, which is up for consideration right now, what I'm fearing is that
we may leave here with nothing being passed here, and I think all of us together agree that perhaps there should be greater limits and greater restrictions on regional leagues, which is how this proposal got developed.

So I speak in favor of the motion that was passed right now because that is what is up for consideration.

MS. NELSON: Okay.
MR. FLAMHAFT: You mean the compromise?

MR. TRAPIKAS: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:Can I speak?
MS. NELSON: Fritz had let me know that he wanted a voice, so I'm going to go to Fritz, and then I will go back in the room.

MR. MARTH: I also want to speak in favor of the compromise that's on the floor right now. We all saw that at the mid-year meeting there was a lot of concern about the 16 different bylaws that were being changed. And during that time, Eastern New York worked hard to put out a -- I will say a counterproposal to that. And between the NBOD and that -- we looked at that counterproposal, and we went back and forth as a
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board, and we developed this compromise.
Now, the compromise may not be perfect; I didn't vote for everything in the compromise. But ultimately, I voted for the compromise because I think this is the next step we need to do in moving forward. So I would like to speak in favor of voting "yes" to this compromise on the floor.

MR. RAPAGLIA: Sal Rapaglia from Eastern New York.

I do disagree with Mr. Trainor about that he's saying that it looks like we are an impediment to his national league. That is not true. We are -- we will help him, but what he put out in the 11 pages, it, the state association in these 11 pages means nothing, nothing. That is the main point. Nothing. And we're supposed to be -- we have one of the backbone of the Federation.

The way he put this 15 pages, it created, the state association within three or four years -- I'm warning you guys: Three or four years, we will have been nothing, the way you wrote down. So I do believe I agree 100 percent with this document that they vote
already. Thank you.
MS. NELSON: All right. Dan, hold on. I have a gentleman in the back of the room.

MR. SHORT: Stephen Short with the United Soccer Leagues.

Two-part question just for clarification, if possible. Does this proposal only apply to new members? And part 2, are existing national leagues grandfathered in?

PRESIDENT GROFF: Correct. Yes.
MS. NELSON: Mr. McGee.
MR. MCGEE: This is the point of clarification for the proponents of the compromise. In section -- the proposed Section 4, regional leagues under Paragraph 1, was it the intention that a regional league could be in more than one region?

PRESIDENT GROFF: Yes.
MR. MC GEE: Therefore, I would move to make a slight modification change in the language. At the end of the phrase in Paragraph 1 instead of saying "within a USASA region," put "within one or more USASA regions," so it's very clear that it can be in more than one region.

PRESIDENT GROFF: 5 is why I had to 56 answer "yes" to your question.

Does that 5 satisfy your question?
MR. MC GEE: I understand, but I just
think that it -- it makes it very clear right at the beginning that it then can be even one or more.

MS. NELSON: Bob, I would appreciate it if you would get with me afterwards so I get your exact wording.

MR. MC GEE: It's very simple. After the word "within," put "one or more," and "then USASA regions," plural. That's an amendment. MS. NELSON: Okay.
Hold on a second, Paul.
MR. SCHERER: Idaho State Soccer Association, Paul Scherer.

I second that amendment.
MS. NELSON: All right. I have been informed that I should call the question for that specific amendment, and I'm going to do that by voice first. And that specific amendment is just the one that Bob McGee had brought up, the one or more regions.

All in favor of that, please say aye.
Anyone opposed?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 57 & & 59 \\
\hline 1 & I hear, I believe, a distinct & & leagues popping up knocking on our door. The \\
\hline 2 & preference for ayes there, so I believe that & & provisions we have in here and what we just did, \\
\hline 3 & passes. & & the amendment, allows for these leagues to exist \\
\hline 4 & on passed.) & & across regions. So if we are trying to get hung \\
\hline 5 & MS. LANGENWALTER: All right. Dan, I & & up on the word "inter-regional" and apparently \\
\hline 6 & you wish to speak again? & & there's enough out here who have a problem with \\
\hline 7 & MR. TRAINOR: In clarification, yes, & & that category, we have the ability for the \\
\hline 8 & what was brought at the mid-year meeting with 16 & & leagues to go across regions. We're just not \\
\hline & different proposals or bylaw changes, and that & & going to give it a different category in between \\
\hline 10 & may look overwhelming and daunting. The reality & & national and regional. If it's a regional \\
\hline & is that the majority of those -- and you will see & & league, it's either within one region or multiple \\
\hline 12 & them separated in here that are put out into & 12 & regions. \\
\hline 13 & housekeeping versus actual bylaw changes. & 13 & So I would vote in favor of the \\
\hline 14 & Probably out of the 16, if that's what the real & 14 & proposed amendment to the original amendment. \\
\hline 15 & number was, there were 12 that were housekeeping, & 15 & MR. NELSON: Kyle Langenwalter, New \\
\hline 16 & provided, let's say, it voted for the word & 16 & Mexico. \\
\hline 17 & "inter-regional league." That was putting & 17 & MR. LANGENWALTER: Yeah, I just have \\
\hline 18 & "inter-regional league" in all of the other spots & 18 & a question about, there appears to be a gap in \\
\hline 19 & where "national" and "regional leagues" were. So & 19 & this definition between national leagues and \\
\hline 20 & there weren't that many changes that were & 20 & regional leagues. And we know that we -- we're \\
\hline 21 & actually being affect & 21 & always adding and losing teams on a continuous \\
\hline 22 & We should have thought of that as & 22 & basis with the national leagues. \\
\hline 23 & presenting it to it, but that's what that is. So & 23 & What would happen to a national \\
\hline 24 & I just needed to clarify that part of it, and & 24 & league if they dropped below six teams competing \\
\hline 25 & that's why you will see in the book that they're & 25 & in one of the regions? They then couldn't -- \\
\hline & 58 & & 60 \\
\hline 1 & separated into some bylaw changes. The majority, & & they would not meet the qualification for a \\
\hline 2 & the grand majority, of those are housekeeping & 2 & national league and they would also not meet the \\
\hline 3 & issues. & 3 & qualification for a regional league. So what -- \\
\hline 4 & MS. NELSON: I understand that Tony & 4 & are we saying we're just going to kick them out \\
\hline 5 & Falcone would also like to speak. & 5 & if that happens? \\
\hline 6 & MR. FALCONE: Tony Falcone, Deputy & 6 & PRESIDENT GROFF: In an attempt to \\
\hline 7 & Director Region I. & & answer, if they did not meet the minimum standard \\
\hline 8 & The purpose of changing 211, even & & for their national league, they could not be a \\
\hline 9 & with the original motion, the original proposal, & & national league; but on the other hand, assuming \\
\hline 10 & is that our standards right now for either & 10 & that at the time they're in four different \\
\hline 11 & regional or national league are too low. & 11 & regions, they have been approved by those \\
\hline 12 & Remember, the point -- the point I & 12 & regional councils originally, to get there, \\
\hline 13 & want to make is regional leagues, national & 13 & right, they would have to announce, Look, we're \\
\hline 14 & leagues, are organizational members, which means & 14 & going to drop out one of the regions, but we will \\
\hline 15 & they have the status equal to that of a state & 15 & remain in the other three regions. They would \\
\hline 16 & organization. There's also an organizational & 16 & meet the requirement of a regional league that \\
\hline 17 & member. And when we are looking at 16 within & 17 & has been approved by the other regional councils \\
\hline 18 & three states, that's just too low. & 18 & and the state associations in their region, but \\
\hline 19 & So the goal here is to increase the & 19 & they could not use the classification of national \\
\hline 20 & standards so that leagues that do want to come & 20 & league. \\
\hline 21 & in, either as a regional or national league, are & 21 & MR. LANGENWALTER: Right. Would \\
\hline 22 & significant and are given the status equal to & 22 & we -- but by this, my reading of this would mean \\
\hline 23 & those of us here in the room. & 23 & that we would dissolve them into three separate \\
\hline 24 & This discussion about inter-regional & & regional leagues. \\
\hline & leagues, I don't see all these inter-regional & 25 & PRESIDENT GROFF: No. A regional \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
league could be in two regions as an entity, as a
league, as long as it's approved by the regions and the state associations.

MR. LANGENWALTER: Okay.
MS. NELSON: That's point 5 in there, Kyle.

Steve Flamhaft.
MR. FLAMHAFT: Steve Flamhaft,
Eastern New York.
The reason why New York accepted the compromise is the following: Eastern New York accepts the compromise because the classification of a league as a regional league is subject to the approval, the approval of respective state associations. That in our mind maintains the authority of the state associations, and that's the core of our position; that's the core of our argument.

MS. NELSON: Is there additional discussion, or should we move on to call the question here?

All right. Since I see no one else coming forward, again -- and I'm being reminded that I should restate that this is the motion to amend Bylaw 211, admission to membership, that is
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being voted on. And I'm going to do this first
by voice vote; and then if that is too close to
determine, then we'll go to the cards.
The first thing is, all those in
favor, please say aye?
Anyone opposed?
(Motion passed.)
MS. NELSON: Okay.
MR. TRAINOR: It passes.
MS. NELSON: It passes? All right. Let me make a note to that effect.

MR. HAMILTON: That was the amendment.

MS. NELSON: All right. Thank you.
And I am told that the next order of business is to vote to adopt the amended version, basically, the same thing that you just agreed to for the amendment, we have to agree to approve as an amendment to the bylaws.

So again, all in favor, please say
aye?
Anyone opposed?
(Motion passed.)
MS. NELSON: All right. That passes.
MR. TRAINOR: We should withdraw any
inter-regional.
MS. NELSON: That was going to be my next question. Thank you, Dan.

There are a number of bylaws which pertain to inter-regional, and I am told that the next order of business is to withdraw those. And let me just check the notes, but that is certainly the majority of the items under the bylaws postponed from mid-year, and it will also be a number of the items in the housekeeping.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Put the mic closer to your mouth.

MS. NELSON: Happier?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Better.
MS. NELSON: Okay. So just to make sure that I'm correct, Bylaw 107 is inter-regional, and so it will not be addressed. It will be withdrawn.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What page, please?

MS. NELSON: 43. The initial Bylaw 211 on 44 stays because it was not inter-regional. The one on Page 45 is the one that we have just dealt with, and so that one modification passed. The Bylaw 213 on Page 46 should be withdrawn. Bylaw 301 on Page 47 should be withdrawn.

And that leaves us with two in this section to go over. And I will do that, and then we'll move on to our next section, and I believe there will be a number from Eastern New York in that section that will also be withdrawn because of this bylaw change.

So the next item that we need to bring up -- let me find the page again -- this is 211 on Page 44, and there is a single change in Section 1 that is, as Dan referred to earlier, to require any organization desiring to become an organizational member to also submit a detailed business plan.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Cannot hear in the back.

MS. NELSON: Is that better? Okay. My apologies, folks. I generally am yelled at for speaking too close to the microphone.

So to restate, Bylaw 211 on Page 44, there is one change which is requiring any organization that wants to become an organizational member to also supply a detailed business plan, and the first thing I need to do amendments to -- or another proposed amendment to 211. It is Section 5. It's on Page 49 of your booklet. And I would like a motion to adopt this amendment.
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is to move for the adoption of that amendment.
MR. TRAINOR: So move.
Dan Trainor moves.
MR. LA VIELLE: Rob LaVielle, second.
MS. NELSON: Who seconded it, please?
MR. LA VIELLE: Rob LaVielle.
MS. NELSON: Rob LaVielle. Okay. Is
there discussion on this?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Call for the
question.
MS. NELSON: Seeing none -- thank
you.
Yes, sir.
MR. CIRAMILO: What is your
definition of a detailed plan?
MS. NELSON: Do you care to address
that, Dan?
MR. TRAINOR: For those that might
not have heard, the question was what is a
detailed business plan? There isn't one that's
out there that says that it has to include all of
this, but the reality is it's who the officers
are, what their operating structure is, where
they intend to exist, how they intend to exist,
how they intend to function, all of the things
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that a normal business would have to do to form
an organization.
    MR. CIRAMILO: Can't you make a
friendly amendment, saying these are some of the
articles that we're looking at?
    MR. TRAINOR: The answer, yes, we
could. If there's enough people, you could make
any amendment that you want to it. So I think at
this point, until we actually adopt this and
bring it forward, then that would be the way to
proceed.
    MS. NELSON: Any additional
discussion?
    All in favor of adopting this
language into Bylaw 211, Section 1, please say
aye.

Anyone opposed?
(Motion carries.)
MS. NELSON: Motion carries.
All right. The last item in this
section that we will address is another set of
that a normal business would have to do to form an organization.

MR. CIRAMILO: Can't you make a friendly amendment, saying these are some of the articles that we're looking at?

MR. TRAINOR: The answer, yes, we could. If there's enough people, you could make any amendment that you want to it. So I think at this point, until we actually adopt this and bring it forward, then that would be the way to proceed.

MS. NELSON: Any additional discussion?

All in favor of adopting this language into Bylaw 211, Section 1, please say aye.

MR. TRAINOR: Dan Trainor, move.
MS. NELSON: I have a motion. Do I have a second?

MS. POLLEY: Second by Peggy Polly.
MS. NELSON: Dave Borts, if you would come to the microphone. I understand that you have some amendments that have been proposed to you that we should first address.

MR. BORTS: Yes, Dave Borts from Rhode Island Soccer Association.

As chair of the special committee of the bylaw review, as a result of some of the ongoing events relative to the Wisconsin association and some discussion with Richard Groff and the Rules Committee, we were asked to make a small addition to this proposed amendment.

Very simply, the history of this is that there has been some discussions about the fact that in these situations, perhaps USASA may have some inherent authority to do what's proposed, but it's very clear that we should have a specific proposal as to how to operate in these situations. Given the possibility that we may be facing a vacancy as a result of U.S. Soccer Federation actions, it was requested that we make an amendment, which I consider a friendly amendment to this, regarding the proposal.

So what I'm going to do is this: It's been over the last week and a half that we have had conversations. It's not in writing. I am going to read you the amendment very slowly, and I'm going to reread it to you. You all have it in your books. There is basically a minor change as pertains to the events that would take place if, in fact, there is an organization, a USASA state organization, that is removed by the United States Soccer Federation.

So I'm going to read it very slowly, and bear with me. I'm reading off of a small cell phone at the moment. This is Section 5, State Association Replacement.
"In the event the state association has voluntarily withdrawn its authority and jurisdiction of a territory previously determined by the National Council (see definition 22-State Associations) a state association has been found in bad standing, or a vacancy is created by the actions of the Federation, the Board of Directors at its discretion may undertake to administer that same territory for all purposes, until an
application is received, reviewed and approved to replace a withdrawn association.
"Section 2, An organization seeking to replace a withdrawn or removed state association or a USASA member organization found in bad standing shall comply with Bylaw 211. Upon approval of the Board of Directors, that organization may be recognized as a state association with all intended rights and privileges of an organization member."

Except for the addition about the finding by the Federation, that's an amendment that we worked on at great length earlier this year mostly between Steve Flamhaft and myself. And so we've asked you to consider that with the friendly amendment, which we've accepted relative to the events that might take place if the Federation removed an Adult association.

MS. NELSON: All right. Thank you, Dave.

MR. HAMILTON: So he's making a motion to amend the motion to adopt.

MS. NELSON: Yes.
MR. HAMILTON: Need a second.
MS. NELSON: This is a motion to
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amend the motion to adopt, and the amendment is primarily -- or the amended text is primarily in the -- what you will see in your books as the third line after the associations parentheses. And so I need this seconded.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Seconded.
MR. SCHERER: Second.
MS. NELSON: Okay. I hear a second
from Paul Scherer, I believe. And is there a discussion? Steve?

MR. FLAMHAFT: No.
MS. NELSON: If there's no discussion, then let us decide whether we're going to go with this amendment. I'm going to again do a voice vote.

All in favor, please say aye.
Anyone opposed?
Wonderful. We will move forward with
the amended bylaw proposal.
(Motion passed.)
MR. FALCONE: Just a point of
clarification. Since we passed the previous 211,
things are renumbered, so this section would now be Section 6.

PRESIDENT GROFF: Housekeeping,
correct?
MS. NELSON: Yes, that is housekeeping, but it's a good point to have it noted here. This will now become the new Section 6.

And is there any additional discussion on this amendment?

All right. Then we will move directly to the question.

All in favor of adopting this amendment, 211, Section 6, regarding state association replacement, please say aye?

Anyone opposed?
(Motion passes.)
MS. NELSON: Wonderful. This passes.
The next set of sections that we'll move on to is the newly proposed bylaws that you will see in your book. And as mentioned, there are a number of these in this section that are proposed by Eastern New York.

And, Steve, are you planning on withdrawing those?

MR. FLAMHAFT: Yes.
MS. NELSON: I'm not sure people can hear you.
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MR. FLAMHAFT: Yes.
Steve Flamhaft, Eastern New York, the answer is yes to withdraw.

MS. NELSON: Just to reiterate for the record, that is on Page 53, Bylaw 211, and Page 55, Bylaw 213. We have already dealt with 57. Bylaw 301 on Page 59 will be withdrawn. And, let's see, 61 stays? Yes, Page 60 is also withdrawn. I believe that is all four of them. The remaining items will be addressed.

So the next item on our list is on Page 61, Bylaw 401. It is regarding conflict of interest, and it is proposed by Idaho State Soccer.

Can I have a motion to adopt this bylaw proposal?

MR. SCHERER: Actually, what I would like to do is withdraw that as a bylaw. I really think it is more appropriate as a policy. One thing that I would like to do is -- it may not be the time to address this now, but when we get into policies, I'd like to certainly address the new policy that the executive committee came up with, and I hope this bylaw helps spur them on to bring that policy together. So thank you.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 73 & & 75 \\
\hline 1 & MS. NELSON: Thank you, Paul. & & Committee please comment on their thought? \\
\hline 2 & So Bylaw 401 proposal has been & 2 & MS. NELSON: The Rules Committee had, \\
\hline 3 & withdrawn. & & indeed, put a comment in the book regarding this \\
\hline 4 & That brings us to Page 63, which is & 4 & bylaw and the previous one. We had said that the \\
\hline 5 & Bylaw 422, responsibilities of the executive & & "Select Team" wording is housekeeping, and the \\
\hline 6 & director. And that primarily adds Section 8 and & 6 & Rules Committee suggested that particular piece \\
\hline 7 & that bylaw. & 7 & it be passed. \\
\hline 8 & Can I have a motion to adopt that & 8 & The requirement for online \\
\hline 9 & policy? & 9 & publication of the policies and procedures \\
\hline 10 & UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So move. & & duplicates the wording in the policy that you \\
\hline 11 & MS. NELSON: Can you let our poor & 11 & have just passed, and we felt that one or the \\
\hline 12 & court reporter know who moved and seconded, & 12 & other really should be in place, but both of them \\
\hline 13 & ase. & 13 & were not necessary. \\
\hline 14 & MR. OLIVOS: Cal North, Ric Olivos. & 14 & Paul, are you willing to withdraw \\
\hline 15 & So move. & 15 & that second piece around the online publication \\
\hline 16 & MS. NELSON: Is there discussion & 16 & and just let the Rules Committee deal with the \\
\hline 17 & surrounding this bylaw? & 17 & other one as housekeeping? Or do you wish to \\
\hline 18 & Seeing none, I again, will go to a & 18 & move forward with it? \\
\hline 19 & vote. & 19 & MR. SCHERER: I'm not quite sure what \\
\hline 20 & All in favor of adoption of this & 20 & you are asking now. You want me to do what? \\
\hline 21 & bylaw, please say aye? & 21 & MS. NELSON: There are two pieces \\
\hline 22 & Anyone opposed? & 22 & that you were trying to achieve, as we understood \\
\hline 23 & (Motion passed.) & 23 & it, with this bylaw change. The first of them \\
\hline 24 & MS. NELSON: This passes. & 24 & was to remove the reference to the Select Team -- \\
\hline 25 & UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This passed? & 25 & MR. SCHERER: Right. \\
\hline & 74 & & 76 \\
\hline & MS. NELSON: That was my thought. & 1 & MS. NELSON: -- which the Rules \\
\hline 2 & Yes. & 2 & Committee will do just under general housekeeping \\
\hline 3 & UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It is the & 3 & now that we're aware of it, and we'll accept \\
\hline 4 & first time that anything in Idaho passed. & 4 & that. \\
\hline 5 & MS. NELSON: Imagine my surprise. & 5 & The other one was a note about \\
\hline 6 & All right. Moving along, the next & 6 & publish online annually, and we felt that that \\
\hline 7 & item in the book is a bylaw proposal for Bylaw & & duplicated the wording that we have just adopted \\
\hline 8 & 621, publication, and this is also Idaho State & 8 & in 422, and we thought it was extraneous. \\
\hline 9 & Soccer. It is making two changes to the existing & 9 & MR. SCHERER: I would think that \\
\hline 10 & Bylaw 621. & 10 & would be acceptable, yeah. \\
\hline 11 & It is removing references to the & 11 & MS. NELSON: Perfect. So we do not \\
\hline 12 & Select Team and policies, programs and & 12 & need to consider this further. \\
\hline 13 & procedures, and it allows online publication. & 13 & MR. SCHERER: I would like to add one \\
\hline 14 & And so I would like to have a motion to adopt & 14 & caveat to that. I think it would be advisable \\
\hline 15 & this policy for discussion. & 15 & for the Executive Committee to offer whether \\
\hline 16 & UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So move. & 16 & some state association wants to receive a booklet \\
\hline 17 & MR. HAMILTON: Name and state. & 17 & or a disk or just be able to obtain it online. \\
\hline 18 & MS. NELSON: Name and state, please, & 18 & I know that today when we went to our \\
\hline & sir. Name and state. I don't think she can hear & & regional meeting and we were starting to go \\
\hline 20 & you. & & through the book, most of us in our region didn't \\
\hline 21 & MR. SAMPLES: Eastern P.A., Larry & & have the book. We had copied it offline, and \\
\hline 22 & Samples. & 22 & there weren't page numbers, and there weren't \\
\hline 23 & MS. NELSON: Eastern P.A. Mr. & 23 & line item numbers, and so it was a bit confusing. \\
\hline 24 & Groff. & 24 & And since in our Bylaw 802 it states \\
\hline 25 & PRESIDENT GROFF: Could the Rules & & specifically that USASA was responsible for \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
notifying their members 30 days in advance in writing and to certain members of the state association and officers, and not all of my officers received that notification so, in reality, this meeting hasn't had proper notification, but I'm not going to bring that up other than to mention that. In other words, I'm not going to take any action on it. I could call the question on that, but I'm not going to. I think it's important that we get some business done today.

But I really think that it is important that we offer both -- we offer those opportunities for states that maybe have a little bit harder time with getting communications just online. Thank you.

MS. NELSON: Thank you.
And the next item -- let me double-check. Our next item is advanced notice, which, interesting, I had thought that went into housekeeping, but apparently not.

The change here is strictly to change the wording "Executive Committee" to National Board of Directors, and I will handle it here, although it is housekeeping, just to mention
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that, so it's not --
MR. HAMILTON: I don't think that's the only change.

MS. NELSON: In this particular one, 802?

I have been reminded that that is not the only change. There was an additional change put to this that does also allow electronic notification and submission, in particular, and let me go through and make sure I understand where that is. Section 1 , there is a note that says in the second sentence, "must be submitted electronically or in writing." Likewise in Section 2 , "electronically in writing."

And the -- I believe that Section 3, since it is going to be for National Council, we believe that that also must be strictly related to writing, because if you don't have a chance to review it in advance but it's going to come to the floor, we believe that you should have it in writing.

So those are the changes here, and thank you. That reminds me that the Rules Committee recommendation is not correct there. So we do need to address this bylaw, Bylaw 802,
regarding advanced notice, allowing the capability of notifying people electronically.

So let me first ask for a motion to bring this -- to adopt this.

MR. LAVIELLE: So move.
MS. NELSON: Okay, Rob LaVielle.
MR. TRAINOR: Dan Trainor, second.
MS. NELSON: Do I have a second?
MS. TRAINOR: Yes.
MS. NELSON: Discussion. Steve, you
have been standing there patiently. Steve
Flamhaft. New York.
MR. FLAMHAFT: May I offer a friendly amendment with regard to Section 2 and ask that a change of 30 days in advance be made to 45 days in advance.

MS. NELSON: All right. And that sounds like a recommendation for amending.

Steve, will you make that as a motion, please?

MR. FLAMHAFT: May I offer as a friendly amendment to Section 2 that the section read: "Each proposed amendment received in compliance with Section 1 of this bylaw be sent either electronically or in writing by the 80
Executive Director to the secretary of each organization member, Board of Directors, regional secretaries, and regional treasurers at least 45 days in advance of the National Council meeting."

MR. TRAINOR: That is a motion to amend, Steve?

MR. FLAMHAFT: Yes, sir.
MS. NELSON: Is there discussion on that specific motion?

MR. TRAINOR: Me.
MS. NELSON: Dan Trainor.
MR. TRAINOR: I would vote against that. As the past Rules chair and the one that was responsible for making sure that it got into the office and did all the things properly and get out notification to all the membership in time, you are pushing everything back; and by doing that, at this point, we're at the mid-year meeting, and we would have no time to make any proposal changes to the AGM if the AGM stays in the first quarter, like it has. Next year is a different story. But we're -- last -- at last mid-year meeting, we were right there on the proper notification time. The more you keep
pushing that back, the harder it becomes. And
it's -- you say it's only 15 days, but we are
also dealing with groups of people that have their own lives and their own schedule; and to try to get all of that working, I would not vote for this.

MS. NELSON: I think Paul is -- Paul, were you planning on committing to that one? All right. Gentlemen.

MR. MARION: Andy Marion, South Carolina.

I would just like a little more explanation of what Mr. Trainor just said. I'm not sure I understand what the trouble would be, because they're getting the proposals 90 days ahead, and what Mr. Flamhaft just requested was those be basically forwarded on to the membership 45 days ahead, so that's 45 days after they have gotten it, so I'm not sure I quite understand the problem.

MR. TRAINOR: Yeah, if you have 45 days where everybody is free and has weekends or nights and the time to do it. Not everybody always has that and to try to coordinate five people to get a schedule to make sure it works.
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MR. MARION: Maybe -- well, I -maybe -- I'm sorry to interrupt. But trouble to do what?

MR. TRAINOR: Meet and talk.
MR. MARION: Do you need to do that, though, before you simply forward the proposals to the membership?

MR. TRAINOR: What is happening is the -- it would -- what this -- going to the membership is what each of the, what the Rules Committee recommendation is and how it's been vetted and the rest.

So it goes into the Rules Committee. The Rules Committee gets it. They meet. They go over it. They discuss it. They form their recommendations. They get it ready. Then they send it to the office, making sure that it's there so that the office can compile it, print it or whatever it is before it gets out to the membership. That's what we're talking about.

MR. MARION: All right. So my understanding is your assumption is that you would have to do what you already do, only 15 days earlier.

MR. TRAINOR: Exactly.

MR. MARION: And what you already have to do is put out what the committee recommends after having analyzed the proposals?

MR. TRAINOR: Correct.
MR. MARION: Is that what Steve has proposed your doing? Or is it possible to have advanced notice to the membership without the analysis of the Rules Committee first, and then you could later send your analysis recommendations later?

MR. TRAINOR: No.
MR. MARION: Is that a possibility?
MR. TRAINOR: No, because it's -- all goes out at the same time. And if you remember at the mid-year meeting -- or, yeah, it was the mid-year -- actually the AGM, it might have been mid-year, where you changed it from 120 down to 90. I knew I was getting off of the Rules Committee at that point, so it didn't bother me; it was onto Kris' shoulders.

But believe me when I say, you need a lot of time to look at, analyze, and discuss, because if you don't do that, then we get here, and we have the blowups that happen on the floor; trying to stop that.

MR. MARION: I understand that, and actually, it seems to me if this were done in some fashion like Steve was proposing, it might be less inclination to blowups and confusion because people have had a little more time to look at things.
```

MR. TRAINOR: No, they won't.

```
MR. MARION: I'm not sure I
understand why we couldn't do a 45-day,
whatever it is, simply sending it out to the
proposed membership, without the Rules Committee
analyzing it. You simply -- you've got it 90
days ahead; you could forward these out and then, in the normal fashion, you could send out the proposals with your analysis and recommendations.

MS. NELSON: Well, one thing to bear in mind as well: When we're doing the Rules Committee work, it doesn't always happen, but there are cases, for example, the Bylaw 211 and the Eastern New York proposals, where there's a significant amount of back-and-forth; first of all, to clarify what is meant by the proposal, and second of all, when it's felt that this is a -- in some ways, maybe a contentious proposal, or you get two or three either very similar or
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directly opposing proposals.
There is some work that happens to try and reconcile those and try to make sure that what you brought to the membership is already, you know, to some extent, something that we believe people can live with. We don't always have time for that. We do as much as we can. But now, what you are trying to do is cut that time frame even a little shorter.

MR. MARION: So you think sending out early without any recommendations or any of this reconciliation would cause us more problems than it would solve?

MS. NELSON: I don't know. I think there's a chance for it --

MR. MARION: If I understand -- you could later send out what you send out anyway, and if things change, they change. But at least people may have a couple of extra weeks to think about it.

MS. NELSON: All right. Well, that may be something that you can, if you so desire, make a proposal for a rules change for. But at the moment we're still trying to deal with the 45-day time frame.

And I think, we've got a gentleman behind you, if you have made your point.

Bob McGee.
MR. MARION: Yes. Bob McGee.
MR. MC GEE: Bob McGee, WPSL.
I speak against the amendment for the exact same reasons that you brought up, but there's also a financial issue here. If we have to send out the annual book 30 days before, and now we've got to send out rules another 15 days before, you're talking about two mailings, and that's going to cost even more money.

MR. FLAMHAFT: No, no, no, electronic.

MS. NELSON: Well, electronically is presuming that this is all --

MR. MC GEE: All right. But we normally -- do normally publish the book. There are certain people who do not get electronic mailings.

MS. NELSON: And some of us prefer it in both forms.

MR. MC GEE: So what you are asking the office to do is come up and do the job of getting out the book prior to the AGM or the
mid-year meeting in two different forms: one, the bylaw changes; and second, the rest of the business.

MS. NELSON: Sure, fair enough. Rob.
MR. LA VIELLE: Rob LaVielle, Region III Director.

I would like to speak against this amendment. I think it just puts undue pressure on the Rules Committee. They need to have that time to vet. We don't need to duplicate efforts, and I would ask you to vote that down.

MS. NELSON: All right. Is there additional discussion on the amendment?

All right. I am going to ask all those in favor to say aye, please; all those opposed?
(Motion fails.)
MS. NELSON: All right. The amendment fails. And that brings us back to the initial bylaw proposal that we have on the table with a 30-day advanced notice.

Paul Scherer.
MR. SCHERER: Paul Scherer from Idaho.

Under Section 2, it reads that to
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send out the information either electronically or in writing by the executive director to the secretary of each organizational member. I would like to also amend that to read "the president and secretary of each organizational member."

MR. HAMILTON: That's a motion to amend, Paul.

MS. NELSON: Is that a motion to amend?

MR. SCHERER: That is a motion for amendment.

MR. BUSCH: Second.
MS. NELSON: All right. We have a motion and a second. Is there discussion on that?

PRESIDENT GROFF: Yes, I have discussion.

Paul, do we need to have the secretary identified? And the second thing is we have organizations, national regional leagues, who do not have secretaries. So maybe we should be saying presidents and executive officers. It is a problem at the moment. We have members who do not have secretaries.

MR. SCHERER: Well, I think that's
part of what I was bringing up here is that we have an issue with that.

PRESIDENT GROFF: I agree with you.
I'm totally in agreement. But I was saying, could we expand it even a bit more?

MR. SCHERER: Absolutely. If you
would like to add to that amendment, I would be more than happy to accept it.

PRESIDENT GROFF: I would like to add presidents, executive officers, and/or secretaries. How about "executive officers," can we live with that?

MR. SCHERER: Yes.
MR. FALCONE: Tony Falcone, Deputy
Director Region I.
Do we really need to have a title of an individual? Could we just say executive director -- or writing by the executive director to each organization member, since each organization member designates who is supposed to receive their official correspondence anyway? Does that solve this -- you know, the problem?

MS. NELSON: Paul, I'm not sure everyone heard you.

MR. SCHERER: I would rather have it
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the way it was worded by Richard, myself. Thank you.

MS. NELSON: The executive officers.
PRESIDENT GROFF: That's great. We can live with that.

MR. HAMILTON: So, Paul, your motion is just to change the word "secretary" to "executive officers"?

PRESIDENT GROFF: Correct.
MS. NELSON: You are being asked if your motion is to change the word "secretary" to "executive officers"?

MR. SCHERER: And/or secretaries. Is that correct?

MS. NELSON: No, that includes --
MR. SCHERER: Oh, okay, right. To
include them, correct.
MS. NELSON: All right. And, Paul, just to be very sure, that is what you intend?

MR. SCHERER: Yes.
MS. NELSON: So -- and correct me if
I'm wrong -- this needs to be passed, and then we'll go back.

MR. HAMILTON: That's right.
MS. NELSON: We need to call the
question on this.
All in favor of making the change in
the wording on Section 2 from "secretary" to "executive officers," please say aye?

Anyone opposed?
(Motion passed.)
MS. NELSON: Wonderful, that passes.
And that brings us back to the changes for Bylaw 802 themselves. Is there any additional discussion on that? Finding none, let's take a voice vote for approval of that as well.

All those in favor of approving the changes to Bylaw 802, advanced notice, please say aye?

Anyone opposed?
(Motion passes.)
MS. NELSON: Wonderful. Motion carries.

And now we get into the committee changes which are the items from Page 68 through -- let's see -- 77. And since they are all related to committees in general, I would like to bring them to the floor as a block, and then we can make proposed amendments on -- I will 92
take them, I guess, as amendments as they come up
after that. Do we have motions to approve the Bylaw 501, 511, 512, -13, and -14?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Motion to approve.

MR. FLAMHAFT: Point of information, who proposed these?

PRESIDENT GROFF: The Governance Committee and other committees.

MS. NELSON: This is the result of work from several different committees over the past three or four years, as you should well know -- lawyers committees -- as you should well know, Steve, since you were on them.

I believe that I have a motion to approve from David Borts, and I need a second.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.
MR. SCHERER: Second.
MS. NELSON: Now discussion on these. Peggy, you are standing there patiently. Name and state, and then please tell us.

MS. POLLEY: Peggy Polley, Kentucky Soccer Association, representing Region II.

We discussed at length on Page 68, and we would like to make an amendment to it.

That section, Bylaw 501, Committees; Section 3, Appointments. Section a), we would like to cross out totally. b), we would like to add "adopted by the respective regional" -- I'm sorry -"appointed by the respective regional director." And we would like d) to become a), and then of course, e) becomes d), and e) -- f) becomes e). And then f) would be: "The exception will be that of the Hall of Fame Committee of which the composition of its members is prescribed in its bylaw section."

Now, the reason behind this is we feel that the chain of command in knowing what person in what state association is more qualified to do a job, rather than someone who sits at the top and does not know what goes on at the regional level or the state level -- we feel that the regional director knows the people in his region, and he should be the one to appoint the person to be submitted to the president and the Board of Directors.

MS. NELSON: Okay. Is there
discussion on this?
PRESIDENT GROFF: Yes.
MS. NELSON: Richard first.
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PRESIDENT GROFF: So could you restate your position on Section 3 a)?

MS. POLLEY: 3 a), we're going to take out totally.

PRESIDENT GROFF: So you just said, make a recommendation to the president, and then you took out the right of the president to appoint?

MS. POLLEY: No, I did not say that.
I said -- do you want me to read it again?
PRESIDENT GROFF: You took out a).
It says: "The president shall annually appoint."
MS. POLLEY: The directors, we want
to appoint the person who will be the
representative from each region.
PRESIDENT GROFF: As you know, Peggy, this was a huge issue at the --

MS. POLLEY: I understand totally.
PRESIDENT GROFF: All right. And
this huge issue was discussed, and we had comments that said that we were too regional, okay, and that it was time for us to not be so regional but to be very national.

Now, clearly, under these bylaws, as
proposed, there will be one member, at least,
from each region. There could be more; in other words, you could have six members on a board, not just four, one from each region.

Secondly, regional directors
certainly have the right to propose. They have the right to recommend. Anyone has a right to propose and recommend. And I believe the Board of Directors should be making the appointments, not any one individual. And that's what you are saying: One individual is going to make an appointment.

MS. POLLEY: No, they give you the name. You will have to appoint. But they give you the suggestion, and that should be a regional director's position.

PRESIDENT GROFF: I didn't hear the word "suggestion" from you.

MS. POLLEY: Well, okay. Semantics. I can fix that. That's easily fixed, Richard.

PRESIDENT GROFF: Okay. But you mentioned that the regional director shall appoint. And I oppose that.

MS. POLLEY: I will go back and rephrase that.

Each committee shall include at least
one representative from each region appointed by the respective regional director.

PRESIDENT GROFF: No, that's the same thing. You are saying that the regional director is making the appointment.

What, this is being proposed -- now, this bylaw has been through three different committees. And I understand the regional issues that you are laying out.

MS. POLLEY: Right. That's correct.
PRESIDENT GROFF: Right. But I believe the regional directors can recommend, anyone can recommend, but ultimately, who serves on these committees? Rather than just one from each region and one chair, which sometimes we need seven or eight people to do the job, the task, where would the Online Registration Committee be if we can only have one person from Region IV? You would have lost four people. Okay.

So what we're saying is certainly the regional director can recommend, deputy director can recommend, anybody can recommend. That recommendation goes to the Board of Directors ultimately for approval. I am opposed. I think
regionalization in this organization has to end.
MS. POLLEY: But you do not know the people in the region, Richard, not personally. You know some of them; like, you know me. Okay. And we've been there, done that.

PRESIDENT GROFF: I know many of them. I know many of them. That's why I'm president, okay?

MS. POLLEY: And don't use me as an example.

PRESIDENT GROFF: No, but what I want to point out -- you are absolutely right. The regional director should be nominated.

MS. POLLEY: He should be part of the process. That's my point.

PRESIDENT GROFF: I agree. It's when you go to say the regional director shall appoint that I have difficulty. They certainly should recommend, but there are other people in the region who could be equally capable of recommending.

MS. POLLEY: Like who?
PRESIDENT GROFF: Deputy directors. A state president. A state president certainly could know everybody in your region. You know
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MS. POLLEY: Yes, I do, but I'm not like everyone else.

PRESIDENT GROFF: No, but why -- but why shouldn't you be able to call up and say this person is recommended, when, in fact, we have done that. I think it's too -- you are making it too restricted.

MS. POLLEY: That's fine.
PRESIDENT GROFF: So I would speak against the amendment.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No kidding.
MR. HAMILTON: I just want to say, when you get in an argument like this, it's really important you speak one at a time so the court reporter can get it.

PRESIDENT GROFF: Sorry.
MS. NELSON: All right. Tony was up
first, and then we will go to you, Debra.
MR. FALCONE: Tony Falcone, Deputy
Director Region I.
You know, we're a big family here.
We're a big soccer family. We love each other, and like brothers and sisters, we can also not love each other.

We're also a very political body
here. The president needs to work with his or her board. If that president ignores advice from the regional directors, that president is going to have a difficult time running this association. Common sense is going to dictate that the president is going to seek advice from each regional director on who to appoint on these committees.

You know, we can try to legislate every little thing, and that causes us problems. This gives a lot of flexibility, and as Richard pointed out, gives a lot more input than just one person; because as things stand now, the regional directors appoint each member on a committee, from their region, and there's really little input coming from the state associations, from our national regional leagues, either from -other delegates from within the region.

So, what this policy -- what this bylaw amendment does is opens the door to have greater input. But like I said, the president would be foolish not to consult with the regional directors.

MS. TRAPIKAS: Debra Trapikas, Region
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II.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can't hear you, Debbie.

MS. TRAPIKAS: Debra Trapikas, Region II.

I think Hitler would probably agree with Richard's comments. But the fact of the matter is our regional directors are the people who should be making those appointments, not the president. It should go through the regional directors and, from them, approved by their regional councils to then go on to the National Board of Directors. My region does feel that way.

MS. NELSON: All right. Tim, I believe you are next.

MR. BUSCH: Yeah, Tim Busch from Washington.

It is a rare day when a bylaw from Idaho passes and when I agree with Tony and Richard. But I will tell you --

PRESIDENT GROFF: Say that again.
MR. BUSCH: What I'm going to say is it's a rare day in USASA when a bylaw from Idaho passes and when I agree with my colleague from

Maryland and with Richard. Over the past 20-plus years, I have probably served on as many committees in USASA as anybody has. I started out on Credentials. I was on Membership. I have been on the Coed. I ran the Veterans Cup. I just took another job in the region.

I think flexibility is a key to the growth of the organization, and we all elect our directors, and we think too small. And I would totally agree with Richard: What has happened with the technology and moving into that option where you have -- you go and find the people that have the skills.

I think we have to open our mind.
I'm going to speak against the amendment because you need to have the right people to do the right job. And I think the change is very positive for our organization.
(Applause.)
MS. NELSON: Thank you, Tim. I'm going to ask Dave Borts to comment, and then if there are no other folks here, I'm going to ask Peggy to come back and restate as a motion. So, Dave, go ahead.

MR. BORTS: Dave Borts, Rhode Island
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Soccer.
I want to reiterate some of the
things that I just heard, but it's very crucial that those people who have been in this association for -- organization for a couple of years remember the things that were discussed at our summit. And what's embodied in this: Yes, the president makes appointments through the National Board of Directors; but if you take a look at c), under Section 3, those nominations come from our entire membership or, otherwise, you can self-nominate yourself if you want to serve on a board.

In other words, it doesn't just come from the people who have been elected. It comes from the people who are all the volunteers in this organization. If you want to serve, you are able to. And I think that's really what's crucial to this proposal. This is not a question of giving an executive total control over our organization. It's opening it up as a national body and making us grow and remembering the spirit of what happened.

When you sat at the summit, those of you who went, you met people you never talked to
before in your life who have been going to meetings 20 years with you. And all of a sudden you realized that there's the same energy out there amongst all the volunteers in this organization, and we need to use that energy, comes from the bottom up and then -- and our top executive board through our executive officer can appoint those people who want to serve.

MS. NELSON: All right. Is there additional discussion?

Okay, Peggy, I need you to come back to the microphone and make that as a motion to amend the motion on the floor so we can call the question.

MS. POLLEY: I don't need to go back.
It's Section 3, Appointments. a) is canceled. b), you add the words "appointed by the respective regional director." d) becomes a); e) becomes d); f) becomes e), and f) is, "The exception will be that of the Hall of Fame Committee of which the composition of its members is prescribed in its bylaw section."

MS. NELSON: Thank you.
MR. FALCONE: Point of order.
MS. NELSON: Tony.
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MR. FALCONE: I have no idea what she's proposing. So, if you are rewriting -- or, Peggy, if you are rewriting those sections, then read to us exactly how it will read, because --

MS. POLLEY: I just did.
MR. FALCONE: No. a) is deleted; b)
is now this and that. That does not make any sense.

Just read to us exactly how it will read.

MR. HAMILTON: Peggy --
MS. NELSON: And please do it with the microphone, please.

MS. POLLEY: Okay. Here we go.
a) "The president shall name the chairmanship of each committee from among the members of the committee subject to the approval of the Board of Directors."
b) "Each committee shall include at least one representative from each region appointed by the respective regional director."
c) "These appointments shall be made from the nominations made by members of the Board of Directors in consultation with organizational members, which shall include state associations,

105
national/regional leagues and other affiliates.
Individual members may seek nominations to committees or nominate other members."
d) "An appointment may be made to a
committee only after notification of the president of the state association of the individual to be appointed."
e) "Notification in writing of all committee appointments shall be made within 30 days."
f) "The exception will be that of the Hall of Fame Committee, of which the composition of its members is prescribed in its bylaw section."

That's it. Okay? Very good. Thank you.

MR. HAMILTON: That's a motion.
MS. NELSON: Now, we are going to call the question on the --

MR. HAMILTON: Need a second.
MS. NELSON: Is there a second to that motion?

MR. MENGEL: Second.
MS. NELSON: Gerhard Mengel, Michigan. \(\qquad\)
All right. Now, we may call the
question.

All in favor of the amendment to
Section 3 appointments as proposed by Peggy
Polley -- all in favor of that, please say aye?
All opposed?
(Motion failed.)
MS. NELSON: All right. That's the majority, so it sounds like that one failed.

All right. That brings us back to the text as you have in your books.

Is there further discussion on that proposed amendment? Tim Busch.

MR. BUSCH: No.
MS. NELSON: Seeing no further discussion, I will bring us to -- yes, that is exactly where I was going, Richard -- I will bring us to the question on the block of amendments, approving 501, committees; 511, administrative committees; 512, competition committees; 513, special technical and advisory committees; 514, Hall of Fame Committee.

Again, I'm going to try to do this initially by voice vote, and if that fails, we'll go to the cards.

All in favor of these changes, please say aye?

All opposed?
(Motion passed.)
MS. NELSON: Wow. I'm impressed. Thank you, folks. That's a culmination of many years' work by many people in this room. That's all housekeeping.

Based on the amount of time we have spent discussing bylaws this afternoon, there are a number of additional bylaws that are in the housekeeping area. I'm going to walk through those quickly and tell you which ones will be withdrawn because they referred to the regional and national leagues or other things that have not been approved. And then if this body agrees, I will make those administratively as housekeeping changes to the bylaws, which is within the purview of the Rules Committee.

So the -- let's see -- the rules committee, this first item 403 would state, it is primarily around --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Page?
MS. POLLEY: The page.
MS. NELSON: Oh, I'm sorry, Page 81.
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UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.
MS. NELSON: That would state the changes in this bylaw are changing "executive committee" to "National Board of Directors," and that goes through Page 85.

The next one is on Page 86 and 87, and that follows on with the item that Paul Scherer had mentioned earlier, the Select program has been removed, so it should also be removed from the list of committees here.

MR. FALCONE: Actually, this has moved now.

MS. NELSON: You're right, it is.
Tony has reminded me: This 501, we've just changed that, so that becomes irrelevant. So that one will be withdrawn.

89 is inter-regional, so that will be withdrawn. It's moot. And same thing on page 90 is additional there, so that is inter-regional, so that will be withdrawn. 91 is the same thing. I think the majority of this may -- from here on out may be. Likewise, 92 and 93.

94 is -- let's see -- the
responsibilities of officers. I'm looking for the changes there.


Okay. I'm being told that everything past 95 or 95 and past is inter-regional, so those will all be withdrawn.

Let me just -- oh, okay, and 94 is also inter-regional, so that brings us to the end of the bylaws, and thank you. That's a very good day's work on that.

We are going to move on to the policies, and you will find that those are in your books from Page 101 through, it looks like, 151. And we will go ahead and address those in order. And the first one of those will be a policy regarding conflicts of interest and business ethics.

What I would like to do is have the proponent of each of these changes stand up and give us an overview of that, and then we will, after that discussion, ask for approval. And so the first thing I'm going to do, when the gentlemen are speaking, is ask someone to give us an overview of the conflict of interest and business ethics policy.

Jim Hamilton.
MR. HAMILTON: This conflict of

It is -- it would be hard for me to say that someone could be a state president and president of this organization. Or -- I think that we have to be very careful about this, and maybe not today but for the position of president, you really need to think about what you want to define as their outside roles and be your president.

MR. HAMILTON: Richard, I agree, but I think that the qualifications to hold an office are matters for the bylaws, and I think that that should be the subject of study and discussion, and a bylaw amendment may be presented at the mid-year.

PRESIDENT GROFF: That's fine.
MR. TRAINOR: Call the question.
MS. NELSON: Fair enough. Seeing no more discussion --

MR. FLAMHAFT: I have something.
MS. NELSON: Sorry, Steve. Go ahead.
MR. FLAMHAFT: Mr. Hamilton, may I ask whether or not this conflict provision tracks the Federation's?

MR. HAMILTON: Yes, it does. Steve, I can't say for sure that it's word for word, but
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it was taken from the Federation, and I don't recall any substantive changes. Obviously, I changed the names of --

MR. FLAMHAFT: I accept your evaluation.

MS. NELSON: All right. So the next order of business is to call the question on whether you want to adopt the conflict of interest policy as stated in the manual. Again, I will go to a voice vote first and cards if needed.

All in favor of adoption, please say aye?

Anyone opposed?
(Motion passed.)
MS. NELSON: USASA has a new conflict of interest policy.

All right. Our next policy is regarding national cups. It starts on Page 115, and I would like a representative from the National Cups Committee to give an overview of this to the membership, please. Werner Fricker.

MR. FRICKER: Almost a year ago, after quite a lot of discussion, a National Cup Committee was directed by the president and the

Board of Directors to completely review and look at the National Cup policies.

Hours and hours of meetings, conference calls, the National Cup Committee completely rewrote, revised, took every section from the beginning of the existing policies to the end, and reviewed them. We then submitted them to the Board of Directors on several occasions. We got feedback from them. They also went out at the mid-year meeting as a draft. And I could not be there, but I understand there was a conference there, and we had input from the membership. There was more revisions made after that based on those comments and additional comments again from members of the Board of Directors. And as recent as only a -- right before it was sent out to you, there was more additions made.

I think the National Cup Committee is satisfied with the document as it is. I believe the Board of Directors are, and I would urge that you support and somebody would move to adopt them as presented.

MS. POLLEY: Move to adopt them as presented. Peggy Polley, Kentucky Soccer.
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UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.
MS. NELSON: We have a second.
MR. SCHERER: I have a question.
MS. NELSON: Paul.
MR. SCHERER: Before we go to move to adopt, a couple of things. On Page 9 -- this is what I got off of the internet. I'm sorry. I couldn't quickly find it in the book that I got this morning. But anyhow under 304, Competition Format, what I want to do is just bring this up for clarification on my part --

MS. NELSON: Page? Which? 34?
MR. SCHERER: -- and also to point out a few things.

MS. NELSON: Page 124, Section 304.
MR. SCHERER: Thank you for whoever found that for me. I appreciate that.

One of the things that I would like to point out that I've noticed -- and I've gone to the National Cup Finals, and I would like to see a bigger event. Okay. What I have gone to has turned out to be a weekend adult soccer tournament rather than an actual national finals event.

One, I think of the things that I
think that distracts from it is the regional or the second round of the competition. A couple of things it does, it impacts the budget. It adds another \(\$ 7500\) to the administration part of it, if you will look under the National Cups for their travel and their expenses. It also adds another \$40,000 to the competition itself, so it -- we're looking at about a total of 70-some-thousand dollars that it adds to the cost of the actual National Cup competition.

The other thing I think it does is it actually is exclusionary. There's a lot of state associations out there. We have 55 state associations plus the regional leagues and national leagues that just might enter the national competition if they had an opportunity to win as a state champion. You have the first round as a state champion, I think, that's appropriate.

From there, I find it hard to believe that we can't go from there into strictly to the finals or -- of the National Cup. Something that we could sell, something that we could have that really becomes an event. The National Cup final that I attended, we had fewer than 100 people in
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the stands watching the final games, and I mean way fewer than 100.

And I will give you an example of the things that have turned into events where we had 106 teams at the Veterans Cup. We had radio there, television there, the newspaper there. We had people there from the community that had never seen soccer games before. They heard about this huge event. People talking about it. It was on TV, everything else. It actually became an event.

I would like to see our National Cups become an event. And I quite frankly don't think they're an event because we make it such a small event. And I think if we had a grand event, it would be much better, more on the scale of a festival, like what we've had in the past.

The other thing is like what I
alluded to earlier is that it would be more inclusionary. States from -- like, Idaho, we'll never go to that final, okay. But if we could as a state go there and get beat out of the final competition, it would be a much greater emphasis for us to actually have teams to go. They would only travel once. Our teams could probably
afford to travel once. They're not going to be able to afford to travel two or three times for regional or national events.

So I just hope that we would think about that in the future. I'm not suggesting that we change it today. We've got an event coming up in May. I wouldn't even suggest that we change it now. We have commitments made. But I would certainly hope that you would take it into consideration to change it in the following year.

Please listen to what the membership asks. Thank you.

MS. NELSON: Thank you, Paul.
I still need someone to make an amendment so that we can deal with this officially, please.

Sorry. My mistake. The original policy is already on the floor. We do not have an amendment proposed to it, so we are still dealing with the policy as noted in the book. And so if there -- I'm sorry. There is further discussion here.

MR. WASECKI: I had a question here. I just wanted to clarify something. Section 120
202.2 on international clearance, how is what you guys are proposing going to work? So if I understand it correctly, in the games if they're played, someone gets -- you know, doesn't have an international clearance, they get it after the fact, and they're denied. How is that going to affect if the next round is being played already? Is that just going to affect the player? Or is the team going to be disqualified? This just doesn't seem -- it is not what we have done in the past.

MS. NELSON: Sure. Before we get to that, could you please state your name and state for the --

MR. WASECKI: Cezar Wasecki, president from Mass Soccer.

MS. NELSON: All right. Thank you.
MR. MARTH: Fritz Marth, Region I.
I chaired the ad hoc committee, if you will, who put this proposal together, and I'm one of the primary authors. The reason we addressed this is, my personal opinion, is that, for our purposes, international clearance requirements at our level of the game are really a hindrance more than anything else.
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Unfortunately, USSF has an -- FIFA has the international clearance policy that USSF has to follow, that we have to follow.

We can debate as much as we want about how we should argue with the Federation to relax their requirements on international clearance. And I'm going to tell you right now, we can argue that forever, but it's not going to happen. But what international clearance has become and really only -- it only becomes an issue in the Cups, has become a grounds for protest for a team who rightfully lost a game then to protest simply because they discovered a player on an opposing team did not have international clearance.

In every case so far that I have been involved with -- and I was Cups commissioner in Region I for six years -- never has a player who has applied for international clearance actually been denied international clearance. I'm not saying that it's never happened, but I'm telling you that it's never happened that I can recall.

So what we attempted to do was craft a policy that both recognizes the FIFA and USSF policy which requires international clearance,

122
but also removes international clearance as a point of protest, figuring that if a player is considered provisionally eligible, that team who loses and discovers that a player doesn't have international clearance may be less likely to file a protest in the first place, because they, Number 1, in all likelihood, it's not going anywhere since we've never had a case where -that, I -- again, as I can recall, where international clearance has been denied.

And 2, even if they did -- even if they did file a protest, chances are once international clearance -- once that process is completed, it would be discovered that international clearance has been granted and that team would lose their \(\$ 500\), or whatever it is, protest fee.

So that is why this policy was put in.

Now, I understand -- and one of the things that came up, even the discussion we had among the three of us, was, Gee, what happens if four weeks down and two games later that it's determined that a player did participate, he didn't have international clearance, he applied,
and that was denied. And the ultimate thinking was that that is such a rarity, if it even ever happened, and that there could always be a ground for a protest that takes longer than a week or two to adjudicate, and then you would be in that same position.

So we felt that was the best and most practical way to address international clearance by still complying with the rule, yet taking it away as a means of protest with the belief that it would never, if -- or rarely get to the point where you would have to go back several games to overturn it. But if that were the case, you know, that would have to be taken up by the Cups Committee.

MR. TRAINOR: Make sure they separate amateur reinstatement.

MR. MARTH: As Dan just mentioned, this is not amateur reinstatement. This is just international clearance.

MS. NELSON: Did you have additional questions?

MR. WASECKI: No. I just think -you know, I think if you stack a team with international players, and if it's going to
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happen, the case where we have players, and it might have not happened, it will happen that day, and you are three weeks down on the road, and you are dealing with that player that has not cleared internationally, I think just suspending the player is not the right thing. It should be the whole team should be disqualified, and that's not the issue, this rule.

And I understand it needs to be black and white. Either we do it right or we don't do it. We can't have -- this is sort of like in the gray zone still, in my opinion. But that's fine.

MS. NELSON: Okay. Dan.
MR. TRAINOR: Yeah, just addressing that issue and trying to make sure we separate international clearance from amateur reinstatement. In U.S. Soccer Bylaw 601 (6), which is international clearance, it says that you have to do that, but there is no penalties involved if you don't. However, in the amateur reinstatement which you get into, which is 601 (4), there is a lot of penalties involved if you don't.

So again, make sure we separate that. International clearance, as Fritz was saying,
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it's going to happen. It's just maybe not that second. So that's one thing that we're removing from that; that won't be grounds for protest. The amateur reinstatement is a completely different issue and will be dealt with differently.

MS. NELSON: All right. Is there additional discussion on this? Seeing none, let's move to the adoption of the proposed policy as it is stated in the books, since there are no amendments.

All in favor of adopting this policy, please say aye?

Anyone opposed?
The policy passes.
(Motion passed.)
MS. NELSON: There is the next policy in the book, which I believe is the last policy, which is USASA player registration policy. Tony, I believe that you are going to speak to this, the USASA player registration policy. This is on Page 149 in your books.

MR. FALCONE: As you know, this was presented back at our mid-year meeting. The National Board of Directors made some changes
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from that meeting, by the comments that were made. And what we have before you is what's been approved by the National Board of Directors for approval by this National Council. I will go ahead and make a motion to approve this policy.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.
MR. BUSCH: Second.
MS. NELSON: We have a motion and a second. Is there a discussion on this policy?

MS. TRAINOR: Barb Trainor, Arizona and Registration Committee.

We would have liked to have been consulted on this and involved in it. There are some things that would have supported the registration, the online registration we're about to do. We don't feel that this conflicts with any of that, but it needs some additions.

By the time we saw it published out, we didn't have time, considering all the work we were doing, to make modifications. But we will suggest modifications for the next revision so that we can remove the bylaw that we put in at the mid-year meeting.

PRESIDENT GROFF: Barb, as soon as the committee has those recommendations, you
actually should send them to the Board of
Directors so we can get them approved and then sent to membership.

MR. SCHERER: Would it not make sense to table this for now and then bring it up later?

PRESIDENT GROFF: She's not objecting to it. She wants to add to it.

MR. SCHERER: Okay. I just have a suggestion or comment. It just seems like we have a lot of requirements here, and someone mentioned to me one time that we don't like to be called premier or recreational players or any of that type of stuff, and I would agree with that. I think we all think we're competitive, no matter how old we are or how dumpy we are or how hard we play or how old we are, certainly. But I think it would have been just as easy to keep the same pass, but have an events pass, player pass, for those who want to be play in the Cups or some other event, would have been just as easy to go that route than to have this whole big thing, but just a comment.

MS. NELSON: Okay.
MR. PIAZZA: Pascal Piazza, Texas South.
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I have a question based on a comment that was made in the Region III meeting this morning. There was a passing comment that if we go to this premier registration versus a standard registration that unless we can get a different feed, that the premier registration's going to be higher than the standard registration? Is that true?

MS. NELSON: Yes.
PRESIDENT GROFF: Yes, the budget has \(\$ 15\) for the premier and \(\$ 14\) for the standard.

MR. PIAZZA: Well, then I have to speak in opposition to this, because we have -Texas South, we have a competition from USSSA right now, and one of the ways we keep people in Texas South is to have people participate in the national cups and the state cups. And if I'm going to have to tell them now if you want to pay for the national cups, I'm going to charge you more than if you just do a regular --

PRESIDENT GROFF: No, it's the same amount that you are charging this year.

MR. PIAZZA: Okay. But I mean in the future?
```

come back to you for approval.
SPEAKER: Okay, well --
PRESIDENT GROFF: This is the same.

```
We actually gave a reduction for the standard
player fee, to 14.
    MR. PIAZZA: Okay. But -- all right.
But the premier is 15 ?
    PRESIDENT GROFF: 15. That stayed
the same. That's -- that's the fee for the
national, regional leagues and the leagues that
believe they're a premier league and the teams
that enter the cups.
    MR. PIAZZA: All right. So you are
going to say you're charging someone 14, you are
charging them 15 , because I want to play in
national cups.
    PRESIDENT GROFF: Yes. And it starts
in September.
    MR. FALCONE: Keep in mind that,
first of all, what Richard stated, what we just
did when we passed the budget is we now offered a
discounted rate for standard, because up until
now it was pretty much \(\$ 15\) across the board with
a few exceptions.
    As a state association, these are
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tools for you. You can utilize all of these
categories, or you could say, We're just going to
stay with premier or We're just going to stay
with standard. There's no requirement that you,
as a state association, offer all these
categories. What this allows us to do -- and
it's been a criticism of our organization in the
past -- is that we only offered one type of
registration category, and for some people, it
was difficult to get the organization to grow
without having something else to offer.
    So what this allows all of us to do
is offer other products to potential leagues.
But if you, as a state association, want to stay
with just one category, you are within your
bounds to do so.
    MR. MARION: Andy Marion, South
Carolina.
    I'm not sure if my comment here is
more of a question or a suggestion. But it just
strikes me -- I think I understand what you are
trying to accomplish here. There's not a whole
lot of detail on how this would work or some of
the rules about premier registration that I've
heard discussed this weekend and at mid-year.

For example, if a team wants to be a premier team, of course all players on the team have to register as premier, but my understanding is they would have to play in a division with all other teams that are also premier.

PRESIDENT GROFF: No.
MR. MARION: That's what was
mentioned at mid-year. Or --
PRESIDENT GROFF: That was changed.
MR. MARION: Okay.
PRESIDENT GROFF: We did not accept
that.
MR. MARION: Because this isn't spelled out, so maybe this is just more of a question as to whether anything else needs to be said, or is this just simply sufficient as it is? In other words, a team as a whole team would have to register, but the teams they compete against do not, and the division they are in does not have to be premier; is that correct?

PRESIDENT GROFF: Right.
MR. MARION: Okay.
MS. NELSON: All right. I don't see anyone else coming up for discussion, so let us move to -- or let us call the question to adopt 132
USASA player registration policy as it is written in the book that's in front of you, pages 149 through 151.

All in favor, please say aye?
Anyone opposed?
(Motion passed.)
MS. NELSON: We have a new player
registration policy. And that is the last policy
in the booklet, so I will turn it back to Richard.
(Applause.)
PRESIDENT GROFF: Certainly, thank you, Kris, a great job, and thank you. No one expected that we would have this completed by \(3: 42\). It's remarkable.

Is there any new business from anyone that's on the agenda? I don't see any. I would like to introduce Duncan. Duncan has a few comments, certainly want to thank the staff, and he also will handle the Good of the Game.

MR. RIDDLE: Hello. Good afternoon. You are still awake, hopefully. Good.

A couple of little things. I just want to introduce the staff. You have seen some of them. You saw Kevin. Kevin, just stand up a
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second. Kevin, you saw at mid-year.
(Applause.)
MR. RIDDLE: Kevin looks after the national competitions. We have Nick Schmitt in the back.
(Applause.)
MR. RIDDLE: Nick looks after the insurance, but they're also doing a lot more on the web site.

And down at the front is Jen Sunderland.
(Applause.)
MR. RIDDLE: Looks after the administration and has put together all of these packs, which is... and I think she breathed a huge sigh of relief when we got it through that it was 30 days and not 45 days' notice.

Thank you.
Now, just on that little bit of tidying up, it goes to Paul with the e-mail stuff. You should have all got one of these cards. Please, please fill them in, because we are desperately trying to get everybody's complete details. Please fill this in. Leave it on the table. We will collect them up, and then
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we can compile our actual database properly, and you will get the information to the right people. Okay. Thank you.

Obviously, a big thank you to you for coming and participating as always. I think the next year is a big challenge ahead of us. If you are going to grow something, you have to plant the seed, you have to water it, and then let it flourish. I think there's a definite change in soccer in this country.

There's a lot of young players now that are coming out of college and want to continue playing. We have to try to capture them. The way you water them, unfortunately, I don't understand it, but it is through social media. It's the Facebook generation. We have to bombard them with information because that's what they like.

And the way that we can do that is with the new Sportsvite on-line registration. Those guys are social media experts. They're good at communicating to these type of players. And when you wake up tomorrow morning, you will see that the web site will look -- the USASA web site will look completely different, and it will
be a content-based web site. So the plea to you is we have to fill that with stories and stuff that's going on, so please, you have to send us the information. Get the stories in. Small -all the regional events, all your state events. We're going to get it on there and start promoting the game and get it out to a wider audience. Okay.

That's it from me. We are going to go into the Good of the Game. Did anybody have any --

MS. BRIGGS: I do.
I have two items, one on a more serious note that I will begin with, and I will end on a less serious note.

Out of the mutual respect for those of you in the audience and those of us up here, I would really ask that comments made referring to Hitler or to any one individual not happen. It's out of mutual respect.
(Applause.)
MS. BRIGGS: It is both offensive to me and, I'm sure, offensive to the person that it was directed at.

On the lighter note, yesterday was 136
Richard's birthday, and I would like everybody to start to sing happy birthday to Richard.
(Happy Birthday sung to President Groff.)
(Applause.)
MS. BRIGGS: I know how old he is, and the only reason that I do is because he gave my husband Social Security advice.

MR. HAMILTON: For those of you that don't know, tomorrow night is the -- what do they call it, the anniversary dinner, banquet, whatever, and the Werner Fricker Award. And the Werner Fricker Award winner this year is Hank Steinbrecher, who has been a long-time good friend of this organization, and I think we should all attend and honor Hank.
(Applause.)
PRESIDENT GROFF: Anybody else for the Good of the Game?

MR. RAPAGLIA: You will appreciate that next Sunday is my birthday. Easter Sunday. I was born on Good Friday.

PRESIDENT GROFF: That's why you are so special.

MR. FLAMHAFT: I think it might be
appropriate to send out greetings to Bob Black, who is still rehabilitating himself from his illness, so I think that would be in order, Richard.

PRESIDENT GROFF: He's participating on the membership committee, and he's done a really good job.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We didn't hear who it was.

PRESIDENT GROFF: Bob Black.
Barb Trainor.
MS. TRAINOR: Barb Trainor, Registration Committee.

When asked about the workshop -- or the demo tomorrow, I said that, but I neglected to thank and acknowledge my committee who has done a lot of work over the last two years. We have -- and each one of my members brings a special skill -- we have built a very diverse team on purpose. Kyle Langenwalter is the technical expert and brings that to the team. Jen Weston isn't here, but she's a registration guru. She knows everything registration. Kris Nelson is also a technical expert in a lot of different ways. She also knows registration, so 138
she brings both those skills to the team. And those of you that know Tom Moore, he is meticulous in everything, and he makes us consider angles and things that we never would have thought of before. So I wanted to acknowledge all the hard work my team did and thank them.
(Applause.)
MR. PIAZZA: Pascal Piazza, Texas
South.
This is the first meeting in forever that Carlos and Gloria Rodriguez are not in attendance. As long as you can, tell everybody hello from them, and tell everybody here that Carlos is recovering slowly from his lymphoma, and I expect him to be here next year at the mid-year meeting.

So I wanted to give my best from Carlos and Gloria.

MR. LANGENWALTER: Kyle Langenwalter, New Mexico and the Registration Committee.

And I just wanted to reiterate that the invitation is open tomorrow to anybody. We would like to encourage everybody to come that wants to learn about the registration program
that we're going to be offering. And this is definitely something that we think could be very good for the game, and we need your participation. So, please come by. 2:00, the Brickell Room.

PRESIDENT GROFF: Mr. Edwards.
MR. EDWARDS: Mike Edwards, chairman of the committee to elect Mike Edwards. If you vote for me, I won't come back and make another speech for four years. Thank you.
(Applause.)
MR. ILIC: How can I go after that? Branko Ilic, Illinois State Soccer Association.

Two things very fast: One, I would like to thank Mr. Duncan Riddle for giving us the opportunity of hosting an open house in one of our future members, which we -- thanks to his assistance, we're getting a whole new league next month.

The other thing is we're looking forward to hosting the National Cup Finals and the mid-year meeting in Illinois. Looking forward to seeing all of you. Thank you.

MR. RAPAGLIA: Sal Rapaglia.
About ten days ago, I heard a 140
comment, soccer comment. Somebody said, you know, the girls, the woman soccer, two times failed. You even belong to the Board of the Directors of the Federation. You know, the idea, they said, they should play before the national -- the Major League Soccer games. They should have a profession, a championship, and they should play before, like, before there were rules and all the other things, on the same field. And that would be a really a good thing for the women's soccer group to attract the people and arrange the money.

So you belong in there, you know, see if you could do something for us.

PRESIDENT GROFF: It's being discussed.

MR. RAPAGLIA: Okay.
PRESIDENT GROFF: Marti.
MS. BEVAN: Marti Bevan, MDCVSA.
I just wanted to formally thank Erin
for all your hard work being chair for the Budget Committee. You did a great job and demonstrated excellent leadership, so thank you.
(Applause.)
PRESIDENT GROFF: Anyone else?

Some comments: Does anyone know about the event tonight? I know the buses are leaving at 5:45. The dinner starts at 7:00. The location is --

MS. POLLEY: Jungle Island.
PRESIDENT GROFF: Jungle Island. Do you have everything you need to get there?

MS. POLLEY: It was in your paper that you received in that book.

PRESIDENT GROFF: All right. Let's all go party. Do I have a motion to adjourn?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So move.
PRESIDENT GROFF: Thank you very much.

MR. MARTH: Please leave your voting cards on the table and the envelope, and we will come around and pick them up. Thank you. (Adjourned at 4:00 p.m.)
MS. POLLEY: Jungle Island.
PRESIDENT GROFF: Jungle Island
you have everything you need to get there?
MS. POLLEY: It was in your paper
that you received in that book.
all go party. Do I have a motion to adjourn?
    PRESIDENT GROFF: Thank you very
much.

In witness hereof I have hereunto set my hand this 20th day of March, 2012.

Debra K. Resling, RMR
Certified Realtime Reporter
and Notary Public.
4 Cheyenne Boulevard
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
My commission expires February 28, 2013
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